I. OVERVIEW OF THE FUNDING OPPORTUNITY

Program Announcement for the Department of Defense

Defense Health Program

Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs

Ovarian Cancer Research Program

Ovarian Cancer Clinical Trial Academy – Leadership Award

Announcement Type: Initial

Funding Opportunity Number: HT9425-23-OCRP-OCCTA-LA

Assistance Listing Number: 12.420 Military Medical Research and Development

SUBMISSION AND REVIEW DATES AND TIMES

- **Pre-Application Submission Deadline:** 5:00 p.m. Eastern time (ET), May 26, 2023
- **Application Submission Deadline:** 11:59 p.m. ET, July 21, 2023
- **End of Application Verification Period:** 5:00 p.m. ET, July 25, 2023
- **Peer Review:** September 2023
- **Programmatic Review, Stage 1:** October 2023
- **Programmatic Review, Stage 2:** November 2023

This program announcement must be read in conjunction with the General Application Instructions, version 801. The General Application Instructions document is available for downloading from the Grants.gov funding opportunity announcement by selecting the "Package" tab, clicking "Preview," and then selecting "Download Instructions."

TABLE OF CONTENTS

II. DETAILED INFORMATION ABOUT THE FUNDING OPPORTUNITY

II.A. Program Description

Applications to the Fiscal Year 2023 (FY23) Ovarian Cancer Research Program (OCRP) are being solicited by the U.S. Army Medical Research Acquisition Activity (USAMRAA) using delegated authority provided by United States Code, Title 10, Section 4001 (10 USC 4001). The execution management agent for this program announcement is the Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs (CDMRP) at the U.S. Army Medical Research and Development Command (USAMRDC). The OCRP was initiated in FY97 to provide support for research of exceptional scientific merit. Appropriations for the OCRP from FY97 through FY22 totaled $451.45 million (M). The FY23 appropriation is $45.00M

The proposed research must be relevant to active-duty Service Members, Veterans, military beneficiaries, and/or the American public.

The mission of the OCRP is to support patient-centered research to prevent, detect, treat, and cure ovarian cancer to enhance the health and well-being of Service Members, Veterans, their family members, and all women impacted by this disease.

II.A.1. Award History

The OCRP Ovarian Cancer Clinical Trial Academy – Leadership Award (OCCTA-LA) mechanism is being offered for the first time in FY23.

II.B. Award Information

The OCRP established an Ovarian Cancer Academy (OCA) in 2009. The OCA is a unique, interactive virtual academy that provides intensive mentoring, national networking, collaborations, and a peer group for junior faculty. The overarching goal of the OCA was to develop successful, highly productive ovarian cancer researchers in a collaborative research and career development environment.

In FY23, OCRP is initiating a new academy, the Ovarian Cancer Clinical Trial Academy (OCCTA), which will focus on clinical trial research in ovarian cancer. The intention of the OCCTA is to enhance knowledge within next generation of early career investigators in clinical trial research and to produce effective treatments and cures for ovarian cancer. The OCCTA will bring together established investigators (the Academy Dean and Assistant Dean), established Career Guides (mentors), and a group of Early Career Investigators (ECIs)/Scholars to conduct successful, highly productive clinical trials in ovarian cancer

During the first stage of this new clinical trial academy, the OCRP is offering the FY23 OCCTA-LA, which will recruit the leadership team. The objectives of the leadership team will be to initiate research associated with a clinical trial, develop tools for the ECIs/Scholars enabling research success, and lay out a strategic plan guiding future clinical trial research. A pilot clinical

trial and/or clinical research must be proposed in applications to the FY23 OCCTA-LA. This research will develop clinical tools that will serve as a foundation for the OCCTA to enhance clinical outcome data and improve design of future clinical trials. Examples of the types of research that will be supported include but are not limited to correlative studies as a companion to an anticipated/ongoing clinical trial; collecting and linking biospecimens to rigorous molecular data; analysis of patient samples leading to new clinical outcomes or responses to therapies; or strategies to better measure disease progression including development or use of digital biomarkers. In addition, development efforts should include an analysis of the ovarian cancer clinical trial and research landscape to produce protocols, guidelines, and/or standard operating procedures for the execution of successful clinical trials. Developed tools should encourage adoption of common data elements for clinical research as well as innovative approaches for planning and executing a successful clinical trial. Principal Investigators (PIs) awarded through the OCCTA will benefit from interaction with the previously established OCA. Sharing information between the two academies will be advantageous for the entire ovarian cancer research field. Therefore, OCCTA leadership is expected to establish regular communication with the current OCA leadership.

In a second stage of the clinical trial academy, the OCRP anticipates releasing funding opportunities for the ECIs/Scholars who will conduct clinical trial research under the guidance of OCCTA leadership, gain knowledge about clinical trial, establish network, and produce outcomes that will advance ovarian cancer research, patient care, and/or survivorship.

This FY23 OCCTA-LA funding opportunity is soliciting applicants for an Academy Dean (Initiating PI) and Assistant Dean (Partnering PI) to form the Academy Leadership for the OCCTA. Both the Academy Dean and Assistant Dean will be designated as a PI and will work synergistically on a single project. Each PI should bring complementary skills and perspectives to the research project. Developing a clinical research plan should involve a reciprocal flow of ideas and information between the partners. The application should clearly demonstrate that both PIs have equal intellectual input into the design of the project and will devote similar and appropriate levels of effort to the conduct of the project. The application is expected to describe how the PIs' unique expertise combined as a partnership will better address the research question, how the unique expertise that each individual brings to the application is critical for the research strategy and completion of the Statement of Work (SOW). If recommended for funding, each PI will be named to an individual award within the recipient organization. For individual submission requirements for the Initiating and Partnering PI, refer to Section II.D.2, Content and Form of the Application Submission.

Awardees must propose a leadership structure consisting of a guidance/mentoring plan and an execution plan in order to build the new OCCTA. Applicants must be independent, established ovarian cancer researchers, as evidenced by their research funding, publication records, and significant accomplishments within the field of ovarian cancer research.

The Academy Dean and Assistant Dean must also have extensive experience in clinical trial research (i.e., developing and conducting gynecology oncology clinical trials). *At least one member of the multidisciplinary Academy Leadership team must be a board-certified practicing oncologist (i.e., a medical, surgical, gynecologic, or radiation oncologist) who has the necessary expertise, training, and experience in all aspects of therapeutic and/or non-therapeutic clinical*

trials. *While it is anticipated that guidance through the OCCTA will include both therapeutic and non-therapeutic studies,* ***proper expertise by at least one of the two Leadership team members must be in clinical oncology, and this individual must be active in the clinical practice of ovarian cancer treatment and must have current and ongoing experience with the design and conduct of therapeutic and/ non-therapeutic clinical trials***. The Leadership team should have experience with the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and filing and obtaining an Investigational New Drug. The Academy Leadership team must also demonstrate a strong record of mentoring and developing ECIs, a commitment to leadership, and the ability to objectively assess the progress of all ECIs in the OCCTA. The Academy Dean and Assistant Dean can be at different institutions.

Dean/Assistant Dean are expected to carry responsibilities, which include but are not limited to, the following:

- Act as a resource for all ECIs/Scholars and Career Guides in the Academy over the 4-year period of performance (awarded at FY24).
- Initiate clinical trial research associated with an anticipated/ongoing clinical trial or propose a new pilot clinical trial to be used as a platform for the incoming ECIs/Scholars.
- Develop a program to guide the ECIs/Scholars in gaining skill and understanding of the various components of clinical trials, such as the design and writing of clinical protocols, study coordination, study management and monitoring, regulatory coordination, data collection procedures and monitoring, data management and statistics, and intellectual/ material property coordination
- Develop and implement assessment criteria to evaluate the research progress of all ECIs/Scholars, as well as their career progression and sustainment as independent investigators in ovarian cancer clinical research.
- Provide avenues to increase the visibility of ECIs/Scholars within the ovarian cancer research and advocacy communities (e.g., peer review, conferences, editorial and foundation boards).
- Support ECIs/Scholar professional development (i.e., personnel management skills; statistics; bioinformatics; grantsmanship).
- Establish a Career Guide Panel to facilitate collaborations among all OCCTA participants including the ECIs/Scholars, Dean/Assistant Dean, and the Career Guides.
- Facilitate communication within the OCCTA to build collaboration among all ECIs/Scholars and Career Guides (including periodic interactive communications among all Academy members) as well as with the OCA members.

Projects that are strictly animal research will not be considered for funding.

The types of awards made under the program announcement will be assistance agreements. An assistance agreement is appropriate when the federal government transfers a "thing of value" to a "state, local government," or "other recipient" to carry out a public purpose of support or

stimulation authorized by a law of the United States instead of acquiring property or service for the direct benefit and use of the U.S. government. An assistance agreement can take the form of a grant or cooperative agreement. The level of involvement on the part of the Department of Defense (DOD) during project performance is the key factor in determining whether to award a grant or cooperative agreement. If "no substantial involvement" on the part of the funding agency is anticipated, a grant award will be made (31 USC 6304). Conversely, if substantial involvement on the part of the funding agency is anticipated, a cooperative agreement will be made (31 USC 6305), and the award will identify the specific substantial involvement. Substantial involvement may include, but is not limited to, collaboration, participation, or intervention in the research to be performed under the award. The award type, along with the start date, will be determined during the negotiation process.

The anticipated direct costs budgeted for the entire period of performance for an FY23 OCRP OCCTA-LA should not exceed **$1.75M**. Refer to Section II.D.5, Funding Restrictions, for detailed funding information.

Awards will be made no later than September 30, 2024. For additional information refer to Section II.F.1, Federal Award Notices.

The CDMRP expects to allot approximately $1.75M to fund approximately one OCCTA-LA application. Funding of applications received is contingent upon the availability of federal funds for this program as well as the number of applications received, the quality and merit of the applications as evaluated by scientific and programmatic review, and the requirements of the government. Funds to be obligated on any award resulting from this funding opportunity will be available for use for a limited time period based on the fiscal year of the funds. It is anticipated that awards made from this FY23 funding opportunity will be funded with FY23 funds, which will expire for use on September 30, 2029.

Research Involving Human Data, Human Anatomical Substances, Human Subjects, or Human Cadavers: All DOD-funded research involving new and ongoing research with human data, human anatomical substances, human subjects, or human cadavers must be reviewed and approved by the USAMRDC Office of Human and Animal Research Oversight (OHARO), Office of Human Research Oversight (OHRO), prior to research implementation. This administrative review requirement is in addition to the local Institutional Review Board (IRB) or Ethics Committee (EC) review. Local IRB/EC approval at the time of application submission is ***not*** required; however, local IRB/EC approval is necessary prior to OHRO review. Allow up to 3 months to complete the OHRO regulatory review and approval process following submission of ***all required and complete*** documents to the OHRO. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 1, and the OHARO web page https://mrdc.health.mil/index.cfm/collaborate/research_protections/hrpo for additional information.

As of January 20, 2020, U.S. institutions engaged in non-exempt cooperative research ***must*** rely on a single IRB to review and approve the portion of the research conducted at domestic sites in accordance with Code of Federal Regulations, Title 45, Part 46.114(b) (45 CFR 46.114[b]). If the proposed, non-exempt research involves more than one U.S.-based institution, a written plan for single IRB review arrangements must be provided at the time of application submission or

award negotiation. The lead institution responsible for developing the master protocol and master consent form should be identified and should be the single point of contact for regulatory submissions and requirements.

A clinical trial is defined as a research study in which one or more human subjects are prospectively assigned to one or more interventions (which may include a placebo or another control) to evaluate the effects of the interventions on biomedical or behavioral health-related outcomes. Funded trials are required to post a copy of the IRB-approved informed consent form used to enroll subjects on a publicly available federal website in accordance with federal requirements described in 32 CFR 219.

Studies that do not seek to measure safety, effectiveness, and/or efficacy outcome(s) of an intervention are not considered clinical trials.

Clinical research encompasses research with patient samples, data, and interaction with patients that may or may not be considered a clinical trial. ***For the purposes of this funding opportunity, research that meets the definition of a clinical trial is distinct from clinical research.*** Clinical research is observational in nature and includes: (1) Research that does not seek to evaluate the effects of interventions. Research conducted with human subjects (or on material of human origin such as data, tissues, specimens, and cognitive phenomena) for which an investigator (or colleague) directly interacts with human subjects, but does not seek to assess the effects of an intervention, qualifies as clinical research. Patient-oriented research may include but is not limited to: (a) mechanisms of human disease, (b) diagnostic or detection studies (e.g., biomarker or imaging), (c) health disparity studies, and (d) development of new technologies.
(2) Epidemiologic and behavioral studies that do not seek to study the safety, effectiveness, and/or efficacy outcomes of an intervention. (3) Outcomes research and health services research that do not fit under the definition of clinical trial. Excluded from the definition of clinical research are in vitro studies that utilize human tissues that cannot be linked to a living individual. ***Note:*** Studies that meet the requirements for exemption under §46.104(d)(4) of the Common Rule are not considered clinical research as defined by CDMRP. Exemption category 4 refers to secondary research for which consent is not required.

Use of DOD or Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) Resources: If the proposed research involves access to active-duty military and/or VA patient populations and/or DOD or VA resources or databases, the application must describe the access at the time of submission and include a plan for maintaining access as needed throughout the proposed research. Refer to Section II.D.2.b.ii, Full Application Submission Components, for detailed information. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 1, for additional information.

II.C. Eligibility Information

II.C.1. Eligible Applicants

II.C.1.a. Organization: All organizations, including foreign organizations, foreign public entities, and international organizations, are eligible to apply.

Government Agencies Within the United States: Local, state, and federal government agencies are eligible to the extent that applications do not overlap with their fully funded internal programs. Such agencies are required to explain how their applications do not overlap with their internal programs.

As applications for this program announcement may be submitted by extramural and intramural organizations, these terms are defined below.

Extramural Organization: An eligible non-DOD organization. Examples of extramural organizations include academic institutions, biotechnology companies, foundations, federal government organizations other than the DOD, and research institutes.

Intramural DOD Organization: A DOD laboratory, DOD military treatment facility, and/or DOD activity embedded within a civilian medical center. ***Intramural Submission:*** **An *application submitted by a DOD organization for an intramural investigator working within a DOD laboratory or military treatment facility or in a DOD activity embedded within a civilian medical center.***

The USAMRAA makes awards to eligible organizations, not to individuals.

II.C.1.b. Principal Investigator

Academy Dean and Assistant Dean:

- Must be independent and established ovarian cancer researchers.
- Must have ovarian cancer research or clinical trial funding (past and/or present).
- Must have a record of ovarian cancer clinical trial publications in peer-reviewed journals.
- Must have a track record in the design, execution, and completion of therapeutic and non-therapeutic clinical trials as well as proof of mentoring and developing ECIs in the conduct of clinical trial design and execution in the field of ovarian cancer. Mentorship should be evident in ethical principles of clinical research, statistical designs of phase 1-3 trials, FDA reporting guidelines, and data analysis techniques.
- At least one member of the OCCTA Leadership team must be an established, board-certified oncologist.

An eligible PI, regardless of ethnicity, nationality, or citizenship status, must be employed by or affiliated with an eligible organization.

The CDMRP strongly encourages all PIs to participate in a digital identifier initiative through Open Researcher and Contributor ID, Inc. (ORCID). Registration for a unique ORCID identifier can be done online at https://orcid.org/.

II.C.2. Cost Sharing

Cost sharing/matching is not an eligibility requirement.

II.C.3. Other

Organizations must be able to access **.gov** and **.mil** websites in order to fulfill the financial and technical deliverable requirements of the award and submit invoices for payment.

For general information on required qualifications for award recipients, refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 3.

Refer to Section II.H.2, Administrative Actions, for a list of administrative actions that may be taken if a pre-application or application does not meet the administrative, eligibility, or ethical requirements defined in this program announcement.

II.D. Application and Submission Information

Submission of applications that are essentially identical or propose essentially the same research project to different funding opportunities within the same program and fiscal year is prohibited and will result in administrative withdrawal of the duplicative application(s).

II.D.1. eBRAP and Grants.gov

The electronic Biomedical Research Application Portal (eBRAP) (https://ebrap.org) is a secure web-based system that allows PIs to submit their pre-applications, view and verify extramural full applications submitted to Grants.gov (https://grants.gov), receive communications from the CDMRP, and submit documentation during award negotiations and throughout the period of performance. eBRAP also allows intramural organizations to submit full applications following pre-application submission.

Grants.gov is a federal system required to be utilized by agencies to receive and process extramural grant applications. Full applications may only be submitted to Grants.gov after submission of a pre-application through eBRAP.

Contact information for the eBRAP Help Desk and the Grants.gov Contact Center can be found in Section II.G, Federal Awarding Agency Contacts.

Extramural Submission:

- Pre-application content and forms must be accessed and submitted at eBRAP.org.
- Full application packages must be accessed and submitted at Grants.gov.

Intramural DOD Submission:

- Pre-application content and forms must be accessed and submitted at eBRAP.org.
- Full application packages must be accessed and submitted at eBRAP.org.

Note: Applications from an intramural DOD organization or from an extramural federal government organization may be submitted to Grants.gov through a research foundation.

II.D.2. Content and Form of the Application Submission

Submission is a two-step process requiring both ***pre-application*** (eBRAP.org) and ***full application*** (eBRAP.org or Grants.gov) as indicated below. The submission process should be started early to avoid missing deadlines. There are no grace periods. Full application submission guidelines differ for extramural (Grants.gov) and intramural (eBRAP.org) organizations (refer to Table 1, Full Application Guidelines).

The application title, eBRAP log number, and all information for the PI, Business Official(s), performing organization, and contracting organization must be consistent throughout the entire pre-application and full application submission process. Inconsistencies may delay application processing and limit or negate the ability to view, modify, and verify the application in eBRAP. If any changes need to be made, the applicant should contact the eBRAP Help Desk at help@eBRAP.org or 301-682-5507 prior to the application submission deadline.

The OCCTA-LA mechanism is structured to accommodate two PIs. The Academy Dean will be identified as the Initiating PI and will be responsible for the majority of the administrative tasks associated with application submission. The Assistant Dean will be identified as a Partnering PI. The Initiating PI must complete the pre-application submission process and submit the contact information for the Partnering PI. The Partnering PI will then be notified of the pre-application submission separately by email. ***The Partnering PI must follow the link in the notification email to associate the partnering pre-application with their eBRAP account. After associating the pre-application to their eBRAP account, the Partnering PI should email the eBRAP Help Desk (help@ebrap.org) to have the desired contact information associated to their pre-application. The email should include the pre-application log number, the name of the Business Official, the name(s) of the Performing/Contracting Organization(s), and the submission-type for the pre-application (extramural or intramural).*** If not previously registered, the Partnering PI must register in eBRAP. Applicants are urged to complete these steps as soon as possible. If they are not completed, the Partnering PI will not be able to view and modify their application during the verification period in eBRAP. If these steps are not completed, an intramural partner will not be able to submit the Partnering PI's required full application package components to eBRAP.

II.D.2.a. Step 1: Pre-Application Submission Content

During the pre-application process, eBRAP assigns each submission a unique log number. This unique eBRAP log number is required during the full application submission process.

To begin the pre-application process, first select whether the submitting organization is extramural or intramural, then confirm your selection or cancel. **Incorrect selection of extramural or intramural submission type will delay processing.**

If an error has been made in the selection of extramural versus intramural and the pre-application submission deadline has passed, the PI or Business Official must contact the eBRAP Help Desk at help@eBRAP.org or 301-682-5507 to request a change in designation.

All pre-application components must be submitted by the Initiating PI (Academy Dean) through eBRAP (https://eBRAP.org/).

The applicant organization and associated PIs identified in the pre-application should be the same as those intended for the subsequent application submission. If any changes are necessary after submission of the pre-application, the applicant must contact the eBRAP Help Desk at help@eBRAP.org or 301-682-5507.

PIs with an ORCID identifier should enter that information in the appropriate field in the "My Profile" tab in the "Account Information" section of eBRAP.

The pre-application consists of the following components, which are organized in eBRAP by separate tabs (refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.B, for additional information on pre-application submission):

- **Tab 1 – Application Information**

  Submission of application information includes assignment of primary and secondary research classification codes, which may be found at https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm. Applicants are strongly encouraged to review and confirm the codes prior to making their selection.

- **Tab 2 – Application Contacts**

  Enter contact information for the PI. Enter the organization's Business Official responsible for sponsored program administration (the "person to be contacted on matters involving this application" in Block 5 of the Grants.gov SF424 Research & Related Form). The Business Official must be either selected from the eBRAP list or invited in order for the pre-application to be submitted.

  Select the performing organization (site at which the PI will perform the proposed work) and the contracting organization (organization submitting on behalf of the PI, which corresponds to Block 5 on the Grants.gov SF424 Research & Related Form), and click on "Add Organizations to this Pre-application." The organization(s) must be either selected from the eBRAP drop-down list or invited in order for the pre-application to be submitted.

  It is recommended that applicants identify an Alternate Submitter in the event that assistance with pre-application submission is needed.

- **Tab 3 – Collaborators and Key Personnel**

  Enter the name, organization, and role of all collaborators and key personnel associated with the application.

  FY23 OCRP Programmatic Panel members should not be involved in any pre-application or application. For questions related to panel members and pre-applications or applications, refer to Section II.H.2.c, Withdrawal, or contact the eBRAP Help Desk at help@eBRAP.org or 301-682-5507.

  The Initiating PI (Academy Dean) must enter the contact information for the Partnering PI (Assistant Dean) in the Partnering PI section.

- **Tab 4 – Conflicts of Interest**

  List all individuals other than collaborators and key personnel who may have a conflict of interest in the review of the application (including those with whom the PI has a personal or professional relationship).

- **Tab 5 – Pre-Application Files**

  Letter of Intent (LOI) (one-page limit): Provide a brief description of the research to be conducted. LOIs are used for program planning purposes only (e.g., reviewer recruitment) and will not be reviewed during either the peer or programmatic review sessions. An invitation to submit is ***not*** required.

- **Tab 6 – Submit Pre-Application**

  This tab must be completed for the pre-application to be accepted and processed.

II.D.2.b. Step 2: Full Application Submission Content

The CDMRP cannot make allowances/exceptions to its policies for submission problems encountered by the applicant organization using system-to-system interfaces with Grants.gov.

Each application submission must include the completed full application package for this program announcement. The full application package is submitted by the Authorized Organizational Representative through Grants.gov (https://grants.gov/) for extramural organizations or through eBRAP (https://ebrap.org/) for intramural organizations. See Table 1 below for more specific guidelines.

II.D.2.b.i. Full Application Guidelines

Extramural organizations must submit full applications through Grants.gov. Applicants must create a Grants.gov Workspace for submission, which allows the application components to be completed online and routed through the applicant organization for review prior to submission. Applicants may choose to download and save individual PDF forms rather than filling out webforms in Workspace. A compatible version of Adobe Reader **must** be used to view,

complete, and submit an application package consisting of PDF forms. If more than one person is entering text into an application package, the ***same version*** of Adobe Reader software should be used by each person. Check the version number of the Adobe software on each user's computer to make sure the versions match. Using different versions of Adobe Reader may cause submission and/or save errors – even if each version is individually compatible with Grants.gov. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III, and the "Apply For Grants" page of Grants.gov (https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/apply-for-grants.html) for further information about the Grants.gov Workspace submission process. Submissions of extramural applications through eBRAP may be withdrawn.

Do not password protect any files of the application package, including the Project Narrative.

Table 1. Full Application Submission Guidelines

Extramural Submissions	Intramural DOD Submissions
Application Package Location	
Download application package components for HT9425-23-OCRP-OCCTA-LA from Grants.gov (https://grants.gov) and create a Grants.gov Workspace. Workspace allows online completion of the application components and routing of the application package through the applicant organization for review prior to submission.	Download application package components for HT9425-23-OCRP-OCCTA-LA from eBRAP (https://ebrap.org).
Full Application Package Components	
SF424 Research & Related Application for Federal Assistance Form: Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.1, for detailed information.	**Tab 1 – Summary:** Provide a summary of the application information. **Tab 2 – Application Contacts:** This tab will be pre-populated by eBRAP; add Authorized Organizational Representative.
Descriptions of each required file can be found under Full Application Submission Components: • Attachments • Research & Related Personal Data • Research & Related Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded) • Research & Related Budget • Project/Performance Site Location(s) Form • Research & Related Subaward Budget Attachment(s) Form • Additional Application Component(s)	**Tab 3 – Full Application Files:** Upload files under each Application Component in eBRAP. Descriptions of each required file can be found under Full Application Submission Components: • Attachments • Key Personnel • Budget • Performance Sites • Other **Tab 4 – Application and Budget Data:** Review and edit proposed project start date, proposed end date, and budget data pre-populated from the Budget Form.

Extramural Submissions	Intramural DOD Submissions
Application Package Submission	
Create a Grants.gov Workspace. Add participants (investigators and Business Officials) to Workspace, complete all required forms, and check for errors before submission. **Submit a Grants.gov Workspace Package.** An application may be submitted through Workspace by clicking the "Sign and Submit" button on the "Manage Workspace" page, under the "Forms" tab. Grants.gov recommends submission of the application package **at least 24-48 hours prior to the close date** to allow time to correct any potential technical issues that may disrupt the application submission. ***Note:*** If either the Project Narrative or the budget fails eBRAP validation or needs to be modified, an updated Grants.gov application package must be submitted via Grants.gov as a "Changed/Corrected Application" with the previous Grants.gov Tracking ID ***prior to*** the application submission deadline. ***Do not password protect any files of the application package, including the Project Narrative.***	**Submit package components to eBRAP (https://ebrap.org).** **Tab 5 – Submit/Request Approval Full Application:** After all components are uploaded and prior to the full application submission deadline, enter your password in the space provided next to "Enter Your Password Here" and press the "Submit Full Application" button. eBRAP will notify your Resource Manager/Comptroller/Task Area Manager or equivalent Business Official by email. ***Do not password protect any files of the application package, including the Project Narrative.***
Application Verification Period	
The full application package submitted to Grants.gov may be viewed and modified in eBRAP until the end of the application verification period. During the application verification period, the full application package may be modified ***with the exception of the Project Narrative and Research & Related Budget Form***.	After eBRAP has processed the full application, the organizational Resource Manager/Comptroller/Task Area Manager or equivalent Business Official and PIs will receive email notification of this status and will be able to view and modify application components in eBRAP. During the application verification period, the full application package may be modified ***with the exception of the Project Narrative and Research & Related Budget Form***. Your Resource Manager/Comptroller/Task Area Manager or equivalent Business Official should log into eBRAP to review and to approve prior to the application verification deadline.

Extramural Submissions	Intramural DOD Submissions
Further Information	
Tracking a Grants.gov Workspace Package. After successfully submitting a Workspace package, a Grants.gov Tracking Number is automatically assigned to the package. The number will be listed on the "Confirmation" page that is generated after submission. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III, for further information regarding Grants.gov requirements.	Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV, for further information regarding eBRAP requirements.

The CDMRP requires separate full application package submissions for the Initiating PI and each Partnering PI, even if the PIs are located within the same organization. Initiating and Partnering PIs will each be assigned a unique eBRAP log number. Each full application package must be submitted using the unique eBRAP log number. ***Note: All associated applications (the Initiating PI's and the Partnering PI's) must be submitted by the full application submission deadline.***

The full application package must be submitted using the unique eBRAP log number to avoid delays in application processing.

II.D.2.b.ii. Full Application Submission Components

- **Extramural Applications Only**

  SF424 Research & Related Application for Federal Assistance Form: Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.1, for detailed information.

- **Extramural and Intramural Applications**

  Attachments:

  Each attachment to the full application components must be uploaded as an individual file in the format specified and in accordance with the formatting guidelines listed in the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4.

  For all attachments, ensure that the file names are consistent with the guidance. Attachments will be rejected if the file names are longer than 50 characters or have incorrect file names that contain characters other than the following: A-Z, a-z, 0-9, underscore, hyphen, space, and period. In addition, there are file size limits that may apply in some circumstances. Individual attachments may not exceed 20 megabytes (MB), and the file size for the entire full application package may not exceed 200 MB.

  - **Attachment 1: Project Narrative (20-page limit): Upload as "ProjectNarrative.pdf".** The page limit of the Project Narrative applies to text and non-

text elements (e.g., figures, tables, graphs, photographs, diagrams, chemical structures, drawings) used to describe the project. Inclusion of URLs (uniform resource locators) that provide additional information to expand the Project Narrative and could confer an unfair competitive advantage is prohibited and may result in administrative withdrawal of the application.

Describe briefly, the outcomes of the research development plan, the qualifications of the personnel, and plans for the development of key features of the OCCTA using the following general outline:

Background and Experience: Describe the Academy Leadership team's background, expertise, and experience as independent, established clinical researchers (i.e., developing and conducting gynecologic oncology clinical trials) in ovarian cancer. Describe the record of mentoring ECIs and how this mentorship contributed significantly to the ECIs' careers. Explain how this experience contributes to the ideal leadership of the OCCTA.

Pilot Project: Describe a pilot clinical research project or clinical trial project, which will be conducted in a collaborative manner by the academy leadership team. State how this study will support the ECIs/Scholars to facilitate clinical trial research or to enhance their knowledge in clinical trial research on ovarian cancer. Discuss how this study can serve as a groundwork to the OCCTA and its impact in the field of ovarian cancer clinical trial research. The clinical research can be, but not be limited to, correlative studies as companion to an anticipated/ongoing clinical trial that links to the generation of rigorous molecular data, collection and analysis of patient samples leading to clinical outcomes or responses to therapies, or even strategies to better measure disease progression, including the development or use of digital biomarkers.

Describe the scientific rationale that supports the hypothesis and feasibility of the pilot project. This project must align to the mission of the OCRP. If required, include necessary preliminary data to support the proposed research. The proposed project should have the potential to improve understanding of ovarian cancer patients' participation in clinical trials research; quantify barriers to ECIs/Scholars in pursuing a career in ovarian cancer clinical research; or enhance the knowledge of ECIs/Scholars on statistical designs of phase 1-3 trials, FDA reporting guidelines, and data analysis techniques. List the specific aims and rationale describing how the pilot project will help to identify gaps in ovarian cancer clinical trial research and improve clinical education of the ECIs/Scholar.

Describe the type of clinical research or trial to be performed and outline the proposed methodology in sufficient detail to show a clear course of action. Identify the study population and describe the methods that will be used to recruit a sample of human subjects from the accessible population (if applicable). Indicate the access to the study population, recruitment plans, and inclusion/exclusion criteria (if applicable). If retrospective biosample analysis will be performed, demonstrate access to the biorepository and/or clinical data. If applicable, describe how the

clinical research or pilot trial is designed with appropriate study variables, controls, and endpoints.

Address potential problem areas and present alternative methods and approaches.

Describe the strategy for the inclusion of minorities appropriate to the objectives of the study, including a description of the composition of the proposed study population in terms of racial/ethnic group and an accompanying rationale for the selection of subjects. Studies utilizing human biospecimens or datasets that cannot be linked to a specific individual, ethnicity, or race (typically classified as exempt from IRB review) are exempt from this requirement.

Vision: Describe the Leadership team's vision for developing a mentorship program to guide ECIs/Scholars to gain skills and understanding of clinical trial research in ovarian cancer, such as the design and writing of clinical protocols, study coordination, study management and monitoring, regulatory coordination, data collection procedures and monitoring, data management and statistics, and intellectual/material property coordination. Using a roadmap, outline how the Academy will develop highly productive ovarian cancer clinical trial researchers who will be recognized as experts through a collaborative and interactive research environment.

Management of the Academy

- Describe plans to facilitate collaborations among all OCCTA participants, including the ECIs/Scholars, Career Guides (including periodic interactive communications among all Academy members through virtual interactive meetings). Annual/biennial in-person workshops are required. Describe how the format for the workshop will be designed to stimulate the clinical trial research efforts of the ECIs/Scholars in both leadership and research skills.

- Describe plans to facilitate collaborations within patient advocacy communities toward developing effective clinical trial research in ovarian cancer.

- Develop a plan to support ECIs/Scholar professional development (i.e., laboratory management skills, statistics, bioinformatics, publications, professional networking, grantsmanship, committee memberships, etc.) and to overcome barriers in sustaining a career in ovarian cancer clinical research.

- Develop assessment criteria to evaluate the research progress of all ECIs/ Scholars, as well as their career progression and sustainment as independent investigators in ovarian cancer clinical research. Describe evaluation metrics to assess success of the OCCTA.

- Describe the plan to establish regular communication between the OCCTA and the current OCA Leadership.

- **Commitment:** Describe the Academy Leadership team's commitment to leading the OCCTA and to the success of this unique, interactive virtual academy in providing collaborative mentoring of ECIs/Scholars with the goal of developing sustainable, independent careers as leaders in ovarian cancer-focused clinical research at their institutions, both nationally and internationally.

- **Attachment 2: Supporting Documentation: Combine and upload as a single file named "Support.pdf".** Start each document on a new page. If documents are scanned to PDF, the lowest resolution (100 to 150 dpi) should be used. The Supporting Documentation attachment should not include additional information such as figures, tables, graphs, photographs, diagrams, chemical structures, or drawings. These items should be included in the Project Narrative.

  There are no page limits for any of these components unless otherwise noted. Include only those components described below; inclusion of items not requested or viewed as an extension of the Project Narrative will result in the removal of those items or may result in administrative withdrawal of the application.

  References Cited: List the references cited (including URLs, if available) in the Project Narrative using a standard reference format that includes the full citation (i.e., author[s], year published, title of reference, source of reference, volume, chapter, page numbers, and publisher, as appropriate).

  List of Abbreviations, Acronyms, and Symbols: Provide a list of abbreviations, acronyms, and symbols.

  Facilities, Existing Equipment, and Other Resources: Describe the facilities and equipment available for performance of the proposed project and any additional facilities or equipment proposed for acquisition at no cost to the award. Indicate whether government-furnished facilities or equipment are proposed for use. If so, reference should be made to the original or present government award under which the facilities or equipment items are now accountable. There is no form for this information.

  Publications and/or Patents: Include a list of relevant publication URLs and/or patent abstracts. If articles are not publicly available, then copies of up to five published manuscripts may be included in Attachment 2. Extra items will not be reviewed.

  Letters of Organizational Support (no page limit per letter): Provide a letter (or letters, if applicable) signed by the Department Chair or appropriate organization official, confirming the laboratory space, equipment, and other resources available for the project. Letters of support not requested in the program announcement, such as those from members of Congress, do not impact application review or funding decisions.

  Letters of Collaboration (if applicable) (two-page limit per letter): Provide a signed letter from each collaborating individual or organization that demonstrates that

the PI has the support or resources necessary for the proposed work. If an investigator at an intramural organization is named as a collaborator on an application submitted through an extramural organization, the application must include a letter from the collaborator's Commander or Commanding Officer at the intramural organization that authorizes the collaborator's involvement.

Intellectual Property: Information can be found in the 2 CFR 200.315, "Intangible Property."

- **Intellectual and Material Property Plan (if applicable):** Provide a plan for resolving intellectual and material property issues among participating organizations.

- **Commercialization Strategy (if applicable):** Describe the commercialization plan. The plan should include intellectual property, market size, financial analysis, strengths and weaknesses, barriers to the market, competitors, and management team. Discuss the significance of this development effort, when it can be anticipated, and the potential commercial use for the technology being developed.

Data and Research Resources Sharing Plan: Describe how data and resources generated during the performance of the project will be shared with the research community. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 2, Section K, for more information about the CDMRP expectations for making data and research resources publicly available.

Data Management Plan (two-page limit): Describe the data management plan in accordance with Section 3.c, Enclosure 3, DoD Instruction 3200.12.

- For Extramural Applications: Refer to General Application Instructions, Section III.A.2, Attachments Form, Attachment 2, Supporting Documentation, for more detailed information.

- For Intramural Applications: Refer to General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.1, Application Component – Attachments, Attachment 2, Supporting Documentation, for more detailed information.

Inclusion Enrollment Report (if applicable): Provide an anticipated enrollment table(s) for the inclusion of minorities appropriate to the objectives of the study with the proposed enrollment distributed on the basis of race, and ethnicity. The Public Health Service (PHS) Inclusion Enrollment Report is a three-page fillable PDF form that can be downloaded from eBRAP at https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm.

Use of DOD Resources (if applicable): Provide a letter of support signed by the lowest-ranking person with approval authority confirming access to active-duty military populations and/or DOD resources or databases.

Use of VA Resources (if applicable): Provide a letter of support from the VA Facility Director(s) or individual designated by the VA Facility Director(s), such as the Associate Chief of Staff for Research and Development (ACOS/R&D) or Clinical Service Chief, confirming access to VA patients, resources, and/or VA research space. For VA PIs, if the VA non-profit corporation is not identified as the applicant institution for administering the funds, include a letter from the VA ACOS/R&D confirming this arrangement and identifying the institution that will administer the funds associated with the proposed research.

- **Attachment 3: Technical Abstract (one-page limit): Upload as "TechAbs.pdf".** The technical abstract is used by all reviewers. Abstracts of all funded research projects will be posted publicly. ***Do not include proprietary or confidential information.*** Use only characters available on a standard QWERTY keyboard. Spell out all Greek letters, other non-English letters, and symbols. Graphics are not allowed.

  The technical abstract is used by all reviewers. Of particular importance, programmatic reviewers typically do not have access to the full application and therefore rely on the technical abstract for appropriate description of the project's key aspects. Therefore, clarity and completeness within the space limit of the technical abstract are highly important. Technical abstracts should be written using the outline below:

  Academy Leadership Plan

  - As OCCTA Leadership, describe your vision for the successful continuation of the Academy as a non-traditional, non-conventional career development platform in which the OCCTA members will develop partnerships, collaboration, and career growth to ensure their dedication and productivity as leading ovarian cancer researchers.

  Research Plan for Pilot Project

  - Present the ideas and reasoning behind the proposed work.
  - Hypothesis: State hypothesis to be tested. Provide supporting evidence or rationale.
  - Specific Aims: State the specific aims of the study.
  - Study Design: Briefly describe the study design, including appropriate controls.
  - Impact: Describe how the proposed research will make an important contribution toward the goal of eliminating ovarian cancer.

- **Attachment 4: Lay Abstract (one-page limit): Upload as "LayAbs.pdf".** The lay abstract is used by all reviewers. Abstracts of all funded research projects will be posted publicly. ***Do not include proprietary or confidential information***. ***Do not duplicate the technical abstract.*** Use only characters available on a standard QWERTY keyboard.

Spell out all Greek letters, other non-English letters, and symbols. Graphics are not allowed.

Lay abstracts should be written using the outline below. Avoid overuse of acronyms and abbreviations, if possible. Describe the proposed project by including the following elements in plain language.

Describe the rationale for the proposed project in a manner that will be **readily understood by readers without a background in science or medicine**.

Describe the Academy Leadership Plan.

As Academy Leadership, describe your vision for the successful continuation of the Academy as a non-traditional, non-conventional career development platform in which the early career investigators will develop partnerships, collaboration, and career growth to ensure their dedication and productivity as leading ovarian cancer clinical trial researchers.

- **Attachment 5: Statement of Work (three-page limit): Upload as "SOW.pdf".** The suggested SOW format and examples specific to different types of research projects are available on the eBRAP "Funding Opportunities & Forms" web page (https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm). Recommended strategies for assembling the SOW can be found at https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm.

  For the OCCTA-LA, refer to either the "***Suggested SOW Strategy for Clinical Research_Clinical Trial***" or "***Suggested SOW Strategy Generic Research***", whichever format is most appropriate for the proposed effort, and use the blank SOW format titled "Suggested SOW Format". The SOW must be in PDF format prior to attaching.

  Each PI must submit an identical copy of a jointly created SOW. The contributions of the Initiating PI and Partnering PI should be noted for each task.

- **Attachment 6: Sample Agenda (two-page limit): Upload as "SampleAgenda.pdf".** Provide a sample agenda for the first annual workshop to be led by the FY23 Academy Leadership. Explain how the format for the workshop is designed to stimulate the professional growth of the ECIs/Scholars in both leadership and research skills.

- **Attachment 7: Impact Statement (one-page limit): Upload as "Impact.pdf".** In lay language, describe how the OCCTA will bridge the gaps in patient *outcomes and care by developing the multidisciplinary network building platform and* supporting the next generation of ovarian cancer clinical trial researchers. Justify the long-term impact of the academy on ovarian cancer research, patient care, and/or survivorship.

- **Attachment 8: Representations, if applicable (extramural submissions only): Upload as "RequiredReps.pdf".** All extramural applicants must complete and submit the Required Representations template available on eBRAP (https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm). For more information, see the General Application Instructions, Appendix 5, Section B, Representations.

- **Attachment 9: Suggested Collaborating DOD Military Facility Budget Format, if applicable: Upload as "MFBudget.pdf".** If a military facility (Military Health System facility, research laboratory, medical treatment facility, dental treatment facility, or a DOD activity embedded with a civilian medical center) will be a collaborator in performance of the project, complete a separate budget, using "Suggested Collaborating DOD Military Facility Budget Format", available for download on the eBRAP "Funding Opportunities & Forms" web page (https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm), including a budget justification, for each military facility as instructed. The costs per year should be included on the Grants.gov Research & Related Budget Form under subaward costs. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.8, for detailed information.

- **Extramural and Intramural Applications**

  To evaluate compliance with Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 (20 USC 1681[a] et seq.), the DOD is collecting certain demographic and career information to be able to assess the success rates of women who are proposed for key roles in applications in science, technology, engineering, and/or mathematics (STEM) disciplines. To enable this assessment, each application must include the following forms completed as indicated.

  Research & Related Personal Data: For extramural submissions (via Grants.gov), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.3, and for intramural submissions (via eBRAP), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.2, for detailed information.

  Research & Related Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded): For extramural submissions (via Grants.gov), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.4, and for intramural submissions (via eBRAP), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.3, for detailed information.

  - PI Biographical Sketch (five-page limit): Upload as "Biosketch_LastName.pdf". The suggested biographical sketch format is available on the "Funding Opportunities & Forms" web page (https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm) in eBRAP. The National Institutes of Health (NIH) Biographical Sketch may also be used. All biographical sketches should be submitted in uneditable PDF format.

  - PI Previous/Current/Pending Support (no page limit): Upload as "Support_LastName.pdf".

    – For extramural submissions, refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.4, for detailed information.

    – For intramural submissions, refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.3, for detailed information.

- Key Personnel Biographical Sketches (five-page limit each): Upload as "Biosketch_LastName.pdf".

- Key Personnel Previous/Current/Pending Support (no page limit): Upload as "Support_LastName.pdf".

  - For extramural submissions, refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.4, for detailed information.

  - For intramural submissions, refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.3, for detailed information.

Research & Related Budget: For extramural submissions (via Grants.gov), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.5, and for intramural submissions (via eBRAP), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.4, for detailed information.

Budget Justification (no page limit): Upload as "BudgetJustification.pdf". The budget justification for the entire period of performance must be uploaded to the Research & Related Budget after completion of the budget for Period 1.

Initiating and Partnering PIs must have a separate budget and justification specific to their distinct portions of the effort that the applicant organization will submit as separate Grants.gov or eBRAP application packages. The Initiating PI should not include budget information for the Partnering PI even if they are located within the same organization. Refer to Section II.D.5, Funding Restrictions, for detailed information.

Project/Performance Site Location(s) Form: For extramural submissions (via Grants.gov), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.6, and for intramural submissions (via eBRAP), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.5, for detailed information.

- **Extramural Applications Only**

  Research & Related Subaward Budget Attachment(s) Form (if applicable): Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.7, for detailed information.

  - **Extramural Subaward:** Complete the Research & Related Subaward Budget Form through Grants.gov. (Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.7, for detailed information.) Verify subaward budget(s) and budget justification forms are present in eBRAP during the application verification period. If these components are missing, upload them to eBRAP before the end of the application verification period.

  - **Intramural DOD Collaborator(s):** Complete the "Suggested Collaborating DOD Military Facility Budget Format" and upload to Grants.gov attachment form as Attachment 9. (Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.4, for detailed information.) Each Intramural DOD Collaborator should include costs per year on the Grants.gov Research & Related Budget Form under subaward costs.

Military Budget Format: A military facility collaborating in the performance of the project (but not participating as a Partnering PI) should be treated as a subaward for budget purposes. ***Note:*** Applicants should complete a separate military budget using "Suggested Collaborating DOD Military Facility Budget Format" (available for download on the eBRAP "Funding Opportunities & Forms" web page [https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm]) (Attachment 9) to show all direct and indirect costs. The costs per year should be included on the Grants.gov Research & Related Budget Form under subaward costs. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.8, for detailed information.

Application Components for the Partnering PI

The Partnering PI (Assistant Dean) must follow the link in the email from eBRAP and, if not registered in eBRAP, must complete the registration process prior to the application submission deadline in order to associate their full application package with that of the Initiating PI.

For the Partnering PI, the Initiating PI must identify if the Partnering PI will be named on an extramural or intramural application (in accordance with the guidelines in Section II.C.1.a, Organization) and the appropriate mode of submission (Grants.gov for extramural and eBRAP for intramural). The Partnering PI must verify their contact information and mode of submission within eBRAP to ensure proper submission of their application.

The application submission process for the Partnering PI uses an abbreviated full application package that includes:

- **Extramural and Intramural Applications**

  Attachments:

  - **Attachment 5: Statement of Work (three-page limit): Upload as "SOW.pdf".** Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.2, for detailed information on completing the SOW. Each PI must submit an identical copy of a jointly created SOW. The contributions of the Initiating PI and the Partnering PI should be noted for each task.

  - **Attachment 8: Representations, if applicable (extramural submissions only): Upload as "RequiredReps.pdf".** All extramural applicants must complete and submit the Required Representations template available on eBRAP (https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm). For more information, see the General Application Instructions, Appendix 5, Section B, Representations.

  - **Attachment 9: Suggested Collaborating DOD Military Facility Budget Format: Upload as "MFBudget.pdf".** Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.4, for detailed information. The costs per year should be included on the Grants.gov Research & Related Budget Form under subaward costs.

  Research & Related Personal Data: For extramural submissions (via Grants.gov) refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.3, and for intramural submissions (via

eBRAP), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.2, for detailed information.

Research & Related Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded): For extramural submissions (via Grants.gov), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.4, and for intramural submissions (via eBRAP), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.3, for detailed information.

- PI Biographical Sketch (five-page limit): Upload as "Biosketch_LastName.pdf". The suggested biographical sketch format is available on the "Funding Opportunities & Forms" web page (https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm) in eBRAP. The NIH Biographical Sketch may also be used. All biographical sketches should be submitted in the PDF format that is not editable.

- PI Previous/Current/Pending Support (no page limit): Upload as "Support_LastName.pdf".

  - For extramural submissions, refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.4, for detailed information.

  - For intramural submissions, refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.3, for detailed information.

- Key Personnel Biographical Sketches (five-page limit each): Upload as "Biosketch_LastName.pdf".

- Key Personnel Previous/Current/Pending Support (no page limit): Upload as "Support_LastName.pdf".

  - For extramural submissions, refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.4, for detailed information.

  - For intramural submissions, refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.3, for detailed information.

Research & Related Budget: For extramural submissions, refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.5, and for intramural submissions, refer to the General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.4, for detailed information.

Budget Justification (no page limit): Upload as "BudgetJustification.pdf".

Initiating and Partnering PIs must each submit a budget and justification specific to their own portion of the efforts as part of their separate Grants.gov or eBRAP application packages. The Research & Related Budget for the Partnering PI should not include budget information for the Initiating PI, even if they are located within the same organization. Refer to Section II.D.5, Funding Restrictions, for detailed information.

Project/Performance Site Location(s) Form: For extramural submissions (via Grants.gov), refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.6, and for intramural submissions (via eBRAP), refer to General Application Instructions, Section IV.A.5, for detailed information.

- **Extramural Applications Only**

  Research & Related Subaward Budget Attachment(s) Form:

  - **Extramural Subaward:** Complete the Research & Related Subaward Budget Form through Grants.gov. (Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.7, for detailed information.)

  - **Intramural DOD Collaborator(s):** Complete a separate DOD military budget, using Suggested Collaborating DOD Military Facility Budget Format (available for download on the eBRAP "Funding Opportunities & Forms" web page [https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm]), and upload to Grants.gov attachment form as Attachment 9. (Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.8, for detailed information.)

Additional Application Components

In addition to the complete application package, OCCTA-LA applications also require the following components:

- **Oral Presentation**

  Candidates for Academy Dean and Assistant Dean selected for Stage 2 Programmatic Review may be required to give an oral presentation (see Section II.E.1.b, Programmatic Review) in the Baltimore/Washington, DC area in 2023. In the event a PI is invited to the Programmatic Review, Stage 2, but is unable to attend, CDMRP Staff and the Grants Officer will consider alternative arrangements on a case-by-case basis.

  Each presentation will include a 20-minute talk by the candidates (Academy Dean/Assistant Dean pairs), followed by a 20-minute question-and-answer session with Programmatic Panel members. The following questions will be the topics for discussion during the PIs' talk and the question-and-answer session. PIs who are selected should prepare a presentation consisting of no more than 10 slides (not including title slide) that specifically address:

  - What technical, conceptual, or intellectual barriers do you consider as important to overcome in the career development and sustainment of investigators dedicated to ovarian cancer clinical trial research?

  - How do you envision leading the Academy as it continues its non-traditional, non-conventional career development of the ECIs/Scholars in a virtual environment?

  - How will you use your leadership skills to encourage partnerships, collaborations, resource sharing, and career growth for the ECIs/Scholars?

- How will you encourage sustained involvement of the Academy alumni in the Academy?
- What are the proposed milestones and outcomes for the ECIs/Scholars during their time in the Academy?
- What efforts will the leadership partake to encourage future submissions by ECIs/ Scholars?
- How the research project will impact academy and ovarian cancer research and be central to the efforts to the OCCTA.
- Briefly describe the metrics that will be used to evaluate the outcomes of this research.

II.D.3. Unique Entity Identifier (UEI) and System for Award Management (SAM)

The applicant organization must be registered as an entity in SAM (https://www.sam.gov/SAM/) and receive confirmation of an "Active" status before submitting an application through Grants.gov. ***As of April 2022, all federal awards including, but not limited to, contracts, grants, and cooperative agreements will use the UEI generated through SAM.gov.*** Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III, for further information regarding Grants.gov requirements.

II.D.4. Submission Dates and Times

All submission dates and times are indicated in Section I, Overview of the Funding Opportunity. Pre-application and application submissions are required. The pre-application and application submission process should be started early to avoid missing deadlines. There are no grace periods. Failure to meet either of these deadlines will result in submission rejection.

Applicant Verification of Full Application Submission in eBRAP

For Both Extramural and Intramural Applicants: eBRAP allows an organization's representatives and PIs to view and modify the full application submissions associated with them. Following retrieval and processing of the full application, eBRAP will notify the organizational representatives and PI by email to log into eBRAP to review, modify, and verify the full application submission. eBRAP will validate full application files against the specific program announcement requirements, and discrepancies will be noted in an email to the PI and in the "Full Application Files" tab in eBRAP. eBRAP does not confirm the accuracy of file content. Application viewing, modification, and verification in eBRAP are strongly recommended, but not required. It is the applicant's responsibility to review all application components and ensure proper ordering as specified in the program announcement. ***If either the Project Narrative or the budget fails eBRAP validation or needs to be modified, an updated full application package must be submitted prior to the application submission deadline. The Project Narrative and Research & Related Budget Form cannot be changed after the application submission deadline.*** Other application components may be changed until the end of the application verification period. Verify that subaward budget(s) and budget justification forms are present in eBRAP during the application verification period. If these components are

missing, upload them to eBRAP before the end of the application verification period. After the end of the application verification period, the full application cannot be modified.

Extramural Submission: The full application package submitted to Grants.gov may be viewed and modified in eBRAP until the end of the application verification period. During the application verification period, the full application package, ***with the exception of the Project Narrative and Budget Form***, may be modified.

Intramural DOD Submission: After eBRAP has processed the full application, the organizational Resource Manager/Comptroller/Task Area Manager or equivalent Business Official and PIs will receive email notification of the status and will be able to view and modify application components in eBRAP. During the application verification period, the full application package, ***with the exception of the Project Narrative and Budget Form***, may be modified. The Resource Manager/Comptroller/Task Area Manager or equivalent Business Official should log into eBRAP to review and to approve the application package prior to the application verification deadline.

For All Submissions: Verify that subaward budget(s) with budget justification are present in eBRAP during the application verification period. If these components are missing, upload them to eBRAP before the end of the application verification period.

II.D.5. Funding Restrictions

The maximum period of performance is **4** years.

All direct and indirect costs of any subaward or contract must be included in the total direct costs of the primary award.

The applications' combined direct costs budgeted for the entire period of performance in the applications of the Initiating PI and the Partnering PI should not exceed **$1.75M**. If indirect cost rates have been negotiated, indirect costs are to be budgeted in accordance with the organization's negotiated rate. Collaborating organizations should budget associated indirect costs in accordance with each organization's negotiated rate.

A separate award will be made to each PI's organization.

The PIs are expected to be partners in the research, and direct cost funding should be divided accordingly unless otherwise warranted and clearly justified.

For this award mechanism, direct costs must be requested for:

- Costs associated with planning and holding the annual or biennial 1-day workshops with ECIs.

May be requested for (not all-inclusive):

- Salary

- Research supplies
- Costs associated with establishing and maintaining a "virtual" academy (e.g., hardware and/or software for audio- or video-teleconferencing or web-based communications)
- Support for multidisciplinary collaborations, including travel

Must not be requested for:

- Tuition

For extramural awards with an intragovernmental component, direct transfer of funds from an extramural award recipient to a DOD or other federal agency is not allowed except under very limited circumstances. Funding to intramural DOD and other federal agencies will be managed through a direct funds transfer. Intramural applicants are responsible for coordinating through their agency's procedures the use of contractual or assistance funding awards or other appropriate agreements to support extramural collaborators.

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.5, for budget regulations and instructions for the Research & Related Budget. ***For federal agencies or organizations collaborating with federal agencies, budget restrictions apply as are noted in the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.5*.**

II.D.6. Other Submission Requirements

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4, for detailed formatting guidelines.

II.E. Application Review Information

II.E.1. Criteria

II.E.1.a. Peer Review

To determine technical merit, all applications will be evaluated according to the following **scored criteria**, which are of equal importance:

- **Academy Leadership**
    - To what extent the OCCTA Academy Dean's and Assistant Dean's background and experience in ovarian cancer demonstrates their potential for leadership of the Academy.
    - To what extent the OCCTA Leadership's record of mentoring and guidance indicates the potential for successful mentorship and career development of the ECIs/Scholars from multiple institutions and disciplines, at different stages within the Academy.
    - To what extent the OCCTA Leadership is committed to leading the Academy and ensuring that it provides collaborative mentoring of ECIs/Scholars with the goal of

developing sustainable, independent careers as leaders in ovarian cancer clinical trials and clinical research at their institutions and in their field.

- **Research Strategy and Feasibility**
    - To what extent the scientific rationale supports the hypothesis and feasibility of this pilot project, as demonstrated by a review and analysis of the literature.
    - If preliminary data are included, how well they support the proposed research.
    - How well the study may support the ECIs/Scholars to facilitate clinical trial research or to enhance their knowledge in clinical trial research on ovarian cancer.
    - How the pilot study can serve as a groundwork to the OCCTA and how it may impact the field of ovarian cancer clinical trial research.
    - Whether the access to the study population, recruitment plans, and inclusion/exclusion criteria are well described (if applicable).
    - Whether the strategy for the inclusion of minorities and distribution of proposed enrollment are appropriate for the proposed research (if applicable).
    - Whether access to patient samples and/or data is well described.
    - How the clinical research or pilot trial is designed with appropriate study variables, controls, and endpoints (if applicable).
    - How well the statistical plan and analyses are developed and integrated into the project.
    - How well potential problems are identified, and alternative approaches are addressed.
- **Vision**
    - To what extent the vision of the Academy Leadership for proposed OCCTA meets the intent of this award mechanism to continue this unique, interactive virtual Academy that will provide intensive mentoring, networking, and a collaborative peer group for the development and sustainment of ECIs/Scholars.
    - To what degree the Academy roadmap will develop successful leaders in ovarian cancer clinical trial research in collaborative research environment within the 4-year period of performance.
- **Management of the Academy**
    - To what degree the application has clearly defined synergistic roles for the Academy Dean and Assistant Dean their leadership.

- To what extent has the application articulated a plan to facilitate communication and collaboration among all of the ECIs/Scholars and Career Guides, as well as the ovarian cancer research and advocacy communities.

- To what extent the OCCTA will provide a synergistic approach to the development of junior faculty and prepare each of the ECIs/Scholars for an independent and sustainable career in ovarian cancer research.

- How well the Academy Leadership will assist the ECIs/Scholars in overcoming the barriers in initiating and sustaining a career in ovarian cancer research.

- To what extent the application has outlined criteria that will be used to evaluate the research progress made by all of the ECIs/Scholars, as well as their career progression and sustainment as independent investigators in ovarian cancer research.

- How well the measurable outcomes to be achieved by the ECIs by the end of the 4-year period of performance have been described and will contribute to the professional development of the Academy members.

- **Impact**

  - To what degree the proposed OCCTA structure will provide intensive mentoring, networking, and a peer group for the ECIs/Scholars in a unique, interactive, collaborative virtual research environment that will allow them to develop and sustain careers, becoming leading ovarian cancer researchers.

  - How well the leadership team justifies the long-term impact of the Academy on the outcomes and the care and/or survivorship of ovarian cancer patient population.

In addition, the following **unscored criteria** will also contribute to the overall evaluation of the application:

- **Budget**

  - Whether the **direct** maximum costs are equal to or less than the allowable direct maximum costs as published in the program announcement.

  - Whether the budget is appropriate for the proposed research.

- **Environment**

  - If applicable, to what degree the intellectual and material property plan is appropriate.

- **Application Presentation**

  - To what extent the writing, clarity, and presentation of the application components influence the review.

II.E.1.b. Programmatic Review

To make funding recommendations and select the application(s) that, individually or collectively, will best achieve the program objectives, the following criteria are used by programmatic reviewers:

- Ratings and evaluations of the peer reviewers
- Relevance to the mission of the Defense Health Program and FY23 OCRP, as evidenced by the following:
    - **Stage 1:** During the first stage of programmatic review, applications will be selected for the second stage using the following criteria:
        - Relative impact
        - Vision of the OCCTA
        - Adherence to the intent of the award mechanism
    - **Stage 2:** During the second stage of programmatic review, the following criteria will be used:
        - Understanding the barriers important in initiating and sustaining a career in ovarian cancer clinical trial research
        - Articulating a vision for the OCCTA as a non-traditional, non-conventional career development platform in a virtual environment
        - Leadership skills to encourage partnerships, collaborations, resource sharing, and career growth for the ECIs/Scholars
        - Capabilities to lead the OCCTA such that the ECIs/Scholars develop partnerships, collaborations, and career growth to ensure their dedication and productivity as leading clinical trial researchers in ovarian cancer
        - The impact of the proposed ovarian cancer research project and how it is central to the efforts of the OCCTA.

II.E.2. Application Review and Selection Process

All applications are evaluated by scientists, clinicians, and consumers in a two-tier review process. The first tier is **peer review**, the evaluation of applications against established criteria to determine technical merit, where each application is assessed for its own merit, independent of other applications. The second tier is **programmatic review**, a comparison-based process in which applications with high scientific and technical merit are further evaluated for programmatic relevance. Final recommendations for funding are made to the Commanding General, USAMRDC. ***The highest-scoring applications from the first tier of review are not***

automatically recommended for funding. Funding recommendations depend on various factors as described in Section II.E.1.b, Programmatic Review. Additional information about the two-tier process used by the CDMRP can be found at https://cdmrp.health.mil/about/2tierRevProcess. An information paper describing the funding recommendations and review process for the award mechanisms for the OCRP will be provided to the PIs and posted on the CDMRP website.

All CDMRP review processes are conducted confidentially to maintain the integrity of the merit-based selection process. Panel members sign a statement declaring that application and evaluation information will not be disclosed outside the panel. Violations of confidentiality can result in the dissolving of a panel(s) and other corrective actions. In addition, personnel at the applicant or collaborating organizations are prohibited from contacting persons involved in the review and approval process to gain protected evaluation information or to influence the evaluation process. Violations of these prohibitions will result in the administrative withdrawal of the organization's application. Violations by panel members or applicants that compromise the confidentiality of the review and approval process may also result in suspension or debarment from federal awards. Furthermore, the unauthorized disclosure of confidential information of one party to another third party is a crime in accordance with 18 USC 1905.

II.E.3. Integrity and Performance Information

Prior to making an assistance agreement award where the federal share is expected to exceed the simplified acquisition threshold, as defined in 2 CFR 200.1, over the period of performance, the federal awarding agency is required to review and consider any information about the applicant that is available in the Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS).

An applicant organization may review FAPIIS, accessible through SAM, and submit comments to FAPIIS on any information about the organization that a federal awarding agency previously entered and is currently available in FAPIIS.

The federal awarding agency will consider any comments by the applicant, in addition to other information in the designated integrity and performance system, in making a judgment about the applicant's integrity, business ethics, and record of performance under federal awards when determining a recipient's qualification prior to award, according to the qualification standards of the Department of Defense Grant and Agreement Regulations (DoDGARs), Section 22.415.

II.E.4. Anticipated Announcement and Federal Award Dates

All application review dates and times are indicated in Section I, Overview of the Funding Opportunity.

Each PI and organization will receive email notification of posting of the funding recommendation in eBRAP. Each PI will receive a peer review summary statement on the strengths and weaknesses of the application.

II.F. Federal Award Administration Information

II.F.1. Federal Award Notices

Awards supported with FY23 funds are anticipated to be made no later than September 30, 2024. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 2, for additional award administration information.

After email notification of application review results through eBRAP, and if selected for funding, a representative from the USAMRAA will contact the Business Official authorized to negotiate on behalf of the PI's organization.

Pre-Award Costs: An institution of higher education, hospital, or non-profit organization may, at its own risk and without the government's prior approval, incur obligations and expenditures to cover costs up to 90 days before the beginning date of the initial budget period of a new award. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section III.A.5.

Only an appointed USAMRAA Grants Officer may obligate the government to the expenditure of funds. No commitment on the part of the government should be inferred from discussions with any other individual. **The award document signed by the Grants Officer is the official authorizing document.**

Federal Government Organizations: Funding made to federal government organizations (to include intramural DOD organizations) will be executed through the Military Interdepartmental Purchase Request (MIPR) or Funding Authorization Document (FAD) process. Transfer of funds is contingent upon appropriate safety and administrative approvals. Intramural applicants and collaborators are reminded to coordinate receipt and commitment of funds through their respective Resource Manager/Task Area Manager/Comptroller or equivalent Business Official.

II.F.1.a. PI Changes and Award Transfers

An organizational transfer of an award supporting the Initiating PI or Partnering PI is discouraged and will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis and only allowed at the discretion of the Grants Officer.

An organizational transfer of an award will not be allowed in the last year of the (original) period of performance or any extension thereof.

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 2, Section B, for general information on organization or PI changes.

II.F.1.b. Pre-Award Meeting

At the government's discretion, the PIs may be requested to participate in a pre-award meeting at the government's expense.

II.F.2. Administrative and National Policy Requirements

Applicable requirements in the DoDGARs found in 32 CFR, Chapter I, Subchapter C, and 2 CFR, Chapter XI, apply to grants and cooperative agreements resulting from this program announcement.

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 2, for general information regarding administrative requirements.

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 5, for general information regarding national policy requirements.

Refer to full text of the latest DoD R&D General Terms and Conditions and the USAMRAA General Research Terms and Conditions: Addendum to the DoD R&D General Terms and Conditions for further information.

Certification Regarding Disclosure of Funding Sources. The proposing entity must comply with Section 223(a) of the William M. (Mac) Thornberry National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2021, which requires that the Initiating PI, Partnering PI, and all key personnel:

- Certify that the current and pending support provided on the application is current, accurate, and complete;
- Agree to update such disclosure at the request of the agency prior to the award of support and at any subsequent time the agency determines appropriate during the term of the award; and
- Have been made aware of the requirements under Section 223(a)(1) of this Act.

False, fictitious, or fraudulent statements or claims may result in criminal, civil, or administrative penalties (18 USC 1001).

II.F.3. Reporting

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 2, Section A, for general information on reporting requirements. ***If there are technical reporting requirement delinquencies for any existing USAMRAA-sponsored awards at the applicant organization, no new awards will be issued to the applicant organization until all delinquent reports have been submitted.***

Annual progress reports as well as a final progress report will be required.

The Award Terms and Conditions will specify whether additional and/or more frequent reporting is required.

Award Expiration Transition Plan: An Award Expiration Transition Plan must be submitted with the final progress report. Use the one-page template "Award Expiration Transition Plan," available on the eBRAP "Funding Opportunities & Forms" web page (https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm) under the "Progress Report Formats" section.

The Award Expiration Transition Plan must outline whether and how the research supported by this award will progress and must include source(s) of funding, either known or pending.

PHS Inclusion Enrollment Reporting Requirement ***(only required for clinical research studies and clinical trials)***: Enrollment reporting on the basis of sex/gender, race, and/or ethnicity will be required with each annual and final progress report. The PHS Inclusion Enrollment Report is available on the "Funding Opportunities & Forms" web page (https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm) in eBRAP.

Awards resulting from this program announcement may entail additional reporting requirements related to recipient integrity and performance matters. Recipient organizations that have federal contract, grant, and cooperative agreement awards with a cumulative total value greater than $10M are required to provide information to FAPIIS about certain civil, criminal, and administrative proceedings that reached final disposition within the most recent 5-year period and that were connected with performance of a federal award. These recipients are required to disclose, semiannually, information about criminal, civil, and administrative proceedings as specified in the applicable Representations (see General Application Instructions, Appendix 5, Section B).

II.G. Federal Awarding Agency Contacts

II.G.1. eBRAP Help Desk

Questions related to program announcement content or submission requirements as well as questions related to the pre-application or intramural application submission through eBRAP should be directed to the eBRAP Help Desk, which is available Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. ET (closed on most U.S. federal holidays). Response times may vary depending upon the volume of inquiries.

Phone: 301-682-5507

Email: help@eBRAP.org

II.G.2. Grants.gov Contact Center

Questions related to extramural application submission through Grants.gov portal should be directed to the Grants.gov Contact Center, which is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week (closed on U.S. federal holidays). Note that the eBRAP Help Desk is unable to provide technical assistance with Grants.gov submission.

Phone: 800-518-4726; International 1-606-545-5035

Email: support@grants.gov

Sign up on Grants.gov for "send me change notification emails" by following the link on the "Synopsis" page for the program announcement or by responding to the prompt provided by Grants.gov when first downloading the Grants.gov application package. If the Grants.gov application package is updated or changed, the original version of the application package may not be accepted by Grants.gov.

II.H. Other Information

II.H.1. Program Announcement and General Application Instructions Versions

Questions related to this program announcement should refer to the program name, the program announcement name, and the program announcement version code 801b. The program announcement numeric version code will match the General Application Instructions version code 801.

II.H.2. Administrative Actions

After receipt of applications, the following administrative actions may occur:

II.H.2.a. Rejection

The following will result in administrative rejection of the application:

- Pre-application was not submitted.
- Project Narrative exceeds page limit.
- Project Narrative is missing.
- Budget is missing.

II.H.2.b. Modification

- Pages exceeding the specific limits will be removed prior to review for all documents other than the Project Narrative.
- Documents not requested will be removed.

II.H.2.c. Withdrawal

The following may result in administrative withdrawal of the application:

- An FY23 OCRP Programmatic Panel member is named as being involved in the research proposed or is found to have assisted in the pre-application or application processes including, but not limited to, concept design, application development, budget preparation, and the development of any supporting documentation. ***A list of the FY23 OCRP Programmatic Panel members can be found at https://cdmrp.health.mil/ocrp/panels/panels23***.

- The application fails to conform to this program announcement description.
- Inclusion of URLs, with the exception of links in References Cited and Publication and/or Patent Abstract sections.
- Page size is larger than 8.5 inches x 11.0 inches (approximately 21.59 cm x 27.94 cm).
- To preserve the integrity of its peer and programmatic review processes, the CDMRP discourages inclusion of any employee of its review contractors having any role in the preparation, research or other duties for submitted applications. For FY23, the identities of the peer review contractor and the programmatic review contractor may be found at the CDMRP website (https://cdmrp.health.mil/about/2tierRevProcess). Applications that include names of personnel from either of these companies may be administratively withdrawn.
- Personnel from applicant or collaborating organizations are found to have contacted persons involved in the review or approval process to gain protected evaluation information or to influence the evaluation process.
- Applications from extramural organizations, including non-DOD federal agencies, received through eBRAP may be withdrawn.
- Applications submitted by an intramural DOD organization may be withdrawn if the intramural organization cannot coordinate the use of contractual, assistance, or other appropriate agreements to provide funds to extramural collaborators.
- Submission of the same research project to different funding opportunities within the same program and fiscal year.
- The PI does not meet the eligibility criteria.
- Failure to submit all associated (Initiating and Partnering PI) applications by the deadline.
- The applicant fails to demonstrate access to the relevant study population or resources.

II.H.2.d. Withhold

Applications that appear to involve research misconduct will be administratively withheld from further consideration pending organizational investigation. The organization will be required to provide the findings of the investigation to the USAMRAA Grants Officer for a determination of the final disposition of the application.

II.H.3. Application Submission Checklist

Application Components	Action	Initiating PI Completed	Partnering PI Completed
SF424 Research & Related Application for Federal Assistance **(extramural submissions only)**	Complete form as instructed		
Summary (Tab 1) and Application Contacts (Tab 2) **(intramural submissions only)**	Complete tabs as instructed		
Attachments	Project Narrative: Upload as Attachment 1 with file name "ProjectNarrative.pdf"		
	Supporting Documentation: Upload as Attachment 2 with file name "Support.pdf"		
	Technical Abstract: Upload as Attachment 3 with file name "TechAbs.pdf"		
	Lay Abstract: Upload as Attachment 4 with file name "LayAbs.pdf"		
	Statement of Work: Upload as Attachment 5 with file name "SOW.pdf"		
	Sample Agenda: Upload as Attachment 6 with file name "SampleAgenda.pdf"		
	Impact: Upload as Attachment 7 with file name "Impact.pdf"		
	Representations, if applicable (extramural submissions only): Upload as Attachment 8 with file name "RequiredReps.pdf"		
	Suggested Collaborating DOD Military Facility Budget Format: Upload as Attachment 9 with file name "MFBudget.pdf" if applicable		
Research & Related Personal Data	Complete form as instructed		

Application Components	Action	Initiating PI Completed	Partnering PI Completed
Research & Related Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded)	Attach PI Biographical Sketch (Biosketch_LastName.pdf) to the appropriate field		
	Attach PI Previous/Current/Pending Support (Support_LastName.pdf) to the appropriate field		
	Attach Biographical Sketch (Biosketch_LastName.pdf) for each senior/key person to the appropriate field		
	Attach Previous/Current/Pending (Support_LastName.pdf) for each senior/key person to the appropriate field		
Research & Related Budget **(extramural submissions only)**	Complete as instructed. Attach Budget Justification (BudgetJustification.pdf) to the appropriate field		
Budget **(intramural submissions only)**	Complete the Suggested DOD Military Budget Format, including justification		
Project/Performance Site Location(s) Form	Complete form as instructed		
Research & Related Subaward Budget Attachment(s) Form	Complete form as instructed		

APPENDIX 1: ACRONYM LIST

ACOS/R&D	Associate Chief of Staff for Research and Development
CDMRP	Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
DOD	Department of Defense
DoDGARs	Department of Defense Grant and Agreement Regulations
eBRAP	Electronic Biomedical Research Application Portal
EC	Ethics Committee
ECI	Early-Career Investigator
ET	Eastern Time
FAD	Funding Authorization Document
FAPIIS	Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System
FDA	U.S. Food and Drug Administration
FY	Fiscal Year
IRB	Institutional Review Board
LOI	Letter of Intent
M	Million
MB	Megabytes
MIPR	Military Interdepartmental Purchase Request
NIH	National Institutes of Health
OCA	Ovarian Cancer Academy
OCCTA	Ovarian Cancer Clinical Trial Academy
OCCTA-LA	Ovarian Cancer Clinical Trial Academy – Leadership Award
OCRP	Ovarian Cancer Research Program
OHARO	Office of Human and Animal Research Oversight (previously Office of Research Protections)
OHRO	Office of Human Research Oversight (previously Human Research Protection Office)
ORCID	Open Researcher and Contributor ID, Inc.
PDF	Portable Document Format
PHS	Public Health Service
PI	Principal Investigator
SAM	System for Award Management
SOW	Statement of Work
STEM	Science, Technology, Engineering, and/or Mathematics
UEI	Unique Entity Identifier
URL	Uniform Resource Locator

USAMRAA	U.S. Army Medical Research Acquisition Activity
USAMRDC	U.S. Army Medical Research and Development Command
USC	United States Code
VA	Department of Veterans Affairs