Program Announcement

for the

Defense Health Program Defense Medical Research and Development Program Department of Defense Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs

Lung Cancer Research Program

Idea Development Award

Funding Opportunity Number: W81XWH-15-LCRP-IDA Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number: 12.420

SUBMISSION AND REVIEW DATES AND TIMES

- Pre-Application Deadline: 5:00 p.m. Eastern time (ET), June 2, 2015
- Invitation to Submit an Application: July 2015
- Application Submission Deadline: 11:59 p.m. ET, September 16, 2015
- End of Application Verification Period: 5:00 p.m. ET, September 21, 2015
- Peer Review: November 2015
- Programmatic Review: January 2016

The CDMRP eReceipt System has been replaced with the electronic Biomedical Research Application Portal (eBRAP). Principal Investigators and organizational representatives should register in eBRAP as soon as possible. All pre-applications must be submitted through eBRAP. In addition, applications submitted through Grants.gov will now be available for viewing, modification, and verification in eBRAP prior to the end of the application verification period.

This Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity is one of two documents with instructions to prepare and submit an application for this funding opportunity. The second document, the General Application Instructions, is available for downloading from Grants.gov.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Fu	Inding Opportunity Description	.3		
	A.	Program Description			
	B.	FY15 LCRP Areas of Emphasis	. 3		
	C.	Award Information			
	D.	Eligibility Information	. 6		
	E.	Funding	. 6		
II.	Su	bmission Information	. 8		
	A.	Where to Obtain the Grants.gov Application Package	. 9		
	B.	Pre-Application Submission Content	. 9		
	C.	Full Application Submission Content	11		
	D.	Applicant Verification of Grants.gov Submission in eBRAP	18		
	E.	Submission Dates and Times			
	F.	Other Submission Requirements	18		
III	. Aj	oplication Review Information	19		
	Α.	Application Review and Selection Process	19		
	B.	Application Review Process	19		
	C.	Recipient Qualification	21		
	D.	Application Review Dates	21		
	E.	Notification of Application Review Results	21		
IV	. Ac	Iministrative Actions	22		
	A.	Rejection	22		
	B.	Modification	22		
	C.	Withdrawal	22		
	D.	Withhold			
V.	A	vard Administration Information	23		
	A.	Award Notice			
	B.	Administrative Requirements	23		
	C.	National Policy Requirements	23		
	D.	Reporting	23		
	E.	Award Transfers	24		
VI. Agency Contacts					
	A.	CDMRP Help Desk			
	B.	Grants.gov Contact Center	24		
VI	I. Ap	oplication Submission Checklist	25		

I. FUNDING OPPORTUNITY DESCRIPTION

A. Program Description

Applications to the Fiscal Year 2015 (FY15) Lung Cancer Research Program (LCRP) are being solicited for the Defense Health Agency, Research, Development, and Acquisition (DHA RDA) Directorate, by the U.S. Army Medical Research Acquisition Activity (USAMRAA). As directed by the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs, the DHA RDA Directorate manages and executes the Defense Health Program (DHP) Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation (RDT&E) appropriation. The executing agent for this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity is the Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs (CDMRP). The LCRP was initiated in FY09 to promote innovative and competitive research focused on the development of integrated disciplines to identify, treat, and manage early curable lung cancer. Appropriations for the LCRP from FY09 through FY14 totaled \$79 million (M). The FY15 appropriation is \$10.5M.

The goal of the FY15 LCRP is to eradicate deaths from lung cancer to better the health and welfare of military Service members, Veterans, their families, and the American public. As such, the LCRP will support and integrate research from multiple disciplines for risk assessment, prevention, early detection, diagnosis, and treatment for the control and cure of lung cancer.

B. FY15 LCRP Areas of Emphasis

To be considered for funding, applications for the FY15 LCRP Idea Development Award must address at least one of the seven Areas of Emphasis listed below.

- Identify or develop noninvasive or minimally invasive tools to improve detection of the initial stages of lung cancer.
- Identify, develop, and/or build upon already existing tools for screening or early detection of lung cancer. Screening may include, but is not limited to imaging modalities, biomarkers, genetics/genomics/proteomics/metabolomics/transcriptomics, and assessment of risk factors.
- Understand the molecular mechanisms of progression to clinically significant lung cancer.
- Understand the molecular mechanisms that lead to various subtypes of lung cancer.
- Identify innovative strategies for prevention and treatment of early and/or localized lung cancer.
- Understand predictive and prognostic markers to identify responders and nonresponders.
- Understand susceptibility or resistance to treatment.

C. Award Information

The Idea Development Award promotes new ideas that are still in the early stages of development and have the potential to yield impactful data and new avenues of investigation.

This award supports conceptually innovative, high-risk/high-reward research that could lead to critical discoveries or major advancements that will accelerate progress toward eradicating deaths from lung cancer. Applications should include a well-formulated, testable hypothesis based on strong scientific rationale. Submissions from and partnerships with investigators at military treatment facilities, military labs, and Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) medical centers and research laboratories are *strongly encouraged*.

New Investigators: The FY15 Idea Development Award mechanism encourages applications from independent investigators in the early stages of their careers (i.e., within 10 years of their first faculty appointment, or equivalent). The New Investigator category is designed to allow applicants early in their faculty appointments to compete for funding separately from established investigators. Applications from New Investigators and Established Investigators will be peer and programmatically reviewed separately. Principal Investigators (PIs) using the New Investigator category are strongly encouraged to strengthen their applications by collaborating with investigators experienced in lung cancer research and/or possessing other relevant expertise. It is the responsibility of the applicant to describe how the included collaboration will augment the PI's expertise to best address the research question. All applicants for the New Investigator category must meet specific eligibility criteria as described in <u>Section I.D., Eligibility</u> Information.

Preliminary data to support the feasibility of the research hypotheses and research approaches are required; however, these data do not necessarily need to be in lung cancer.

Key elements of this award are as follows:

- **Innovation:** Research deemed innovative may introduce a new paradigm, challenge current paradigms, look at existing problems from new perspectives, or exhibit other uniquely creative qualities.
- **Impact:** Research that has high potential impact may lead to major advancements and significantly accelerate progress toward eradicating deaths from lung cancer.

It is the responsibility of the PI to clearly and explicitly articulate the project's innovation and its potential impact on lung cancer and its relevance to military beneficiaries. The project's impact to both lung cancer research and to lung cancer patients should be articulated, even if clinical impact is not an immediate outcome. Applications that demonstrate exceptional scientific merit but lack innovation and high potential impact do not meet the intent of the Idea Development Award.

Military Relevance: The LCRP seeks to support research that is relevant to the health care needs of military Service members, Veterans, and their families. *Military relevance will be considered in determining relevance to the mission of the DHP and FY15 LCRP during programmatic review.* Investigators are *strongly encouraged* to consider the following characteristics as examples of how a project may demonstrate military relevance:

- Use of military or Veteran populations or data in the proposed research
- Collaboration with Department of Defense (DoD) or VA investigators

- Involvement of military consultants (Army, Air Force) or specialty leaders (Navy, Marine Corps) to the Surgeons General in a relevant specialty area
- Explanation of how the project addresses an aspect of lung cancer that has direct relevance to military Service members, Veterans, or other military health system beneficiaries

Use of Active Duty Military and VA Populations: If the proposed research plan involves access to active duty military and/or VA patient populations or resources, the PI is responsible for establishing and demonstrating such access. If possible, access to target active duty military and/or VA patient populations/resources should be confirmed at the time of application submission by inclusion of a letter of support, signed by the lowest ranking person with approval authority, for studies involving active duty military Service members, Veterans, military and/or VA-controlled study materials, and military and/or VA databases. If access cannot be confirmed at the time of application submission, the Government reserves the right to withhold or revoke funding until the PI has demonstrated support for and access to the relevant population(s) and/or resources. Note that access to a Veteran population for clinical studies may only be obtained by either collaboration with a VA investigator where the VA investigator has a substantial role in the research or by advertising to the general public.

Research Involving Human Anatomical Substances, Human Subjects, or Human

Cadavers: All DoD-funded research involving new and ongoing research with human anatomical substances, human subjects, or human cadavers must be reviewed and approved by the U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Office of Research Protections, Human Research Protection Office (HRPO), in addition to the local Institutional Review Board (IRB) of record. Local IRB approval at the time of submission is *not* required. The HRPO is mandated to comply with specific laws and requirements governing all research involving human anatomical substances, human subjects, or human cadavers that is supported by the DoD. These laws and requirements will necessitate information in addition to that supplied to the IRB. *Allow a minimum of 2 to 3 months for HRPO regulatory review and approval processes*. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 5, and the Human Subject Resource Document available on the eBRAP "Funding Opportunities & Forms" web page (https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm) for additional information.

Clinical trials are not allowed. A clinical trial is defined as a prospective accrual of patients where an intervention (e.g., device, drug, biologic, surgical procedure, rehabilitative modality, behavioral intervention, or other) is tested on a human subject for a measurable outcome with respect to exploratory information, safety, effectiveness, and/or efficacy. This outcome represents a direct effect on the subject of that intervention or interaction. Investigators wishing to apply for funding for a clinical trial should consider submitting an application to the FY15 LCRP Clinical Exploration Award mechanism (Funding Opportunity Number: W81XWH-15-LCRP-CEA).

All investigators applying to FY15 LCRP funding opportunities are encouraged to consider leveraging resources available through the LCRP-funded Lung Cancer Biospecimen Resource Network (LCBRN) (<u>http://www.lcbrn.org/</u>) if retrospectively collected human anatomical substances or correlated data are relevant to the proposed studies.

The CDMRP intends that information, data, and research resources generated under awards funded by this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity be made available to the research community (which includes both scientific and consumer advocacy communities) and to the public at large. For additional guidance, refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 3, Section L.

D. Eligibility Information

Although a PI may be eligible for both the New Investigator and Established Investigator categories, the PI can choose only one category under which to apply. If this is the case, the choice of application category is at the PI's discretion.

• Established Investigator

The PI must be an independent investigator at or above the level of Assistant Professor (or equivalent).

New Investigator

By the application submission deadline date, the PI must have:

- Not previously received a LCRP Idea Development Award or LCRP Early Investigator Synergistic Idea Award; and
- Be an independent investigator at or above the level of Assistant Professor (or equivalent) and be within 10 years of his/her first faculty appointment (or equivalent) by the time of the application submission deadline. Lapses in research time or appointments as denoted in the biographical sketch may be articulated in the application.
- Cost sharing/matching is not an eligibility requirement.
- Eligible investigators must apply through an organization. Organizations eligible to apply include national, international, for-profit, non-profit, public, and private organizations. Both intramural (i.e., DoD) and extramural investigators are encouraged to apply to this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity.
- Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 1, for general eligibility information.

E. Funding

- The maximum period of performance is 2 years.
- The anticipated direct costs budgeted for the entire period of performance will not exceed \$350,000. Associated indirect costs can be budgeted in accordance with the organization's negotiated rate. No budget will be approved by the Government exceeding \$350,000 direct costs or using an indirect rate exceeding the organization's negotiated rate.

- All direct and indirect costs of any subaward (subgrant or subcontract) must be included in the total direct costs of the primary award.
- The applicant may request the entire maximum funding amount for a project that may have a period of performance less than the maximum **2** years.

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.5., for budget regulations and instructions for the Research & Related Budget. *For all Federal agencies or organizations collaborating with Federal agencies, budget restrictions apply as are noted in Section II.C.5. of the General Application Instructions*.

For this award mechanism, direct costs may be requested for (not all-inclusive):

- Salary
- Research supplies
- Clinical research costs (other than costs for clinical trials, which are not allowed)
- Support for multidisciplinary collaborations
- Travel between collaborating organizations
- Travel costs to attend scientific/technical meetings

Shall not be requested for:

• Clinical trial costs

Intramural (DoD), other Federal agency, and extramural investigators are encouraged to apply to this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity. An intramural investigator is defined as a DoD military or civilian employee working within a DoD laboratory or medical treatment facility, or working in a DoD activity embedded within a civilian medical center. Intramural applicants and collaborators are reminded to coordinate receipt and commitment of funds through their respective resource managers. It is permissible for an intramural investigator to be named as a collaborator on an application submitted by an extramural investigator. *In such cases, the extramural investigator must include a letter from the intramural collaborator's Commander or Commanding Officer that authorizes the involvement of the intramural collaborator.*

As required of all applicants to this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity, if PIs from Federal agencies submit applications, they must submit through Grants.gov. Therefore, Federal applicants must be familiar with Grants.gov requirements, including the need for an active System for Award Management (SAM) registration and a Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number. Refer to Section II.A. of the General Application Instructions for further information regarding Grants.gov requirements.

Awards to extramural organizations will consist solely of assistance agreements (Cooperative Agreements and Grants). Awards to intramural agencies and other Federal agencies may be executed through a direct fund transfer (e.g., the Military Interdepartmental Purchase Request [MIPR] or Funding Authorization Document [FAD] process). Direct transfer of funds from the recipient to a Federal agency is not allowed except under very limited circumstances. Refer to

the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.5. Research & Related Budget, for additional information on budget considerations for applications involving Federal agencies.

The CDMRP expects to allot approximately \$3.36M of the \$10.5M FY15 LCRP appropriation to fund approximately 3 Established Investigator and 3 New Investigator Idea Development Award applications, depending on the quality and number of applications received. Funding of applications received in response to this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity is contingent upon the availability of Federal funds for this program.

II. SUBMISSION INFORMATION

Submission of applications that are essentially identical or propose essentially the same research project to different funding opportunities within the same program and fiscal year is prohibited and will result in administrative withdrawal of the duplicative application.

Submission is a two-step process requiring both (1) pre-application submission through the electronic Biomedical Research Application Portal (eBRAP) (<u>https://eBRAP.org/</u>) and (2) application submission through Grants.gov (<u>http://www.grants.gov/</u>). Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.A. for registration and submission requirements for eBRAP and Grants.gov.

eBRAP is a multifunctional web-based system that allows PIs to submit their pre-applications electronically through a secure connection, to view and edit the content of their pre-applications and full applications, to receive communications from the CDMRP, and to submit documentation during award negotiations and period of performance. A key feature of eBRAP is the ability of an organization's representatives and PIs to view and modify the Grants.gov application submissions associated with them. eBRAP will validate Grants.gov application files against the specific Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity requirements and discrepancies will be noted in an email to the PI and in the Full Application Files tab in eBRAP. It is the applicant's responsibility to review all application components for accuracy as well as ensure proper ordering as specified in this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity.

PIs should ensure that their name and email address are the same as the name and email address that will be provided on the SF-424 Form of the Grants.gov application package submitted to Grants.gov. The organization, Business Officials, PI(s), and eBRAP log number named in the full application submitted to Grants.gov must match those named in the pre-application in eBRAP.

Application viewing, modification, and verification in eBRAP is strongly recommended, but not required. The Project Narrative and Budget cannot be changed after the application submission deadline. Any other application component cannot be changed after the end of the application verification period.

A. Where to Obtain the Grants.gov Application Package

To obtain the Grants.gov application package, including all required forms, perform a basic search using the Funding Opportunity Number W81XWH-15-LCRP-IDA in Grants.gov (http://www.grants.gov/).

B. Pre-Application Submission Content

All pre-application components must be submitted by the PI through eBRAP (<u>https://eBRAP.org/</u>). Because the invitation to submit an application is based on the contents of the pre-application, investigators should not change the title or research objectives after the pre-application is submitted.

PIs and organizations identified in the pre-application should be the same as those intended for the subsequent application submission. No change in PI will be allowed after the pre-application deadline. If any changes are necessary after submission of the pre-application, the PI must contact the CDMRP Help Desk at help@eBRAP.org or 301-682-5507.

The pre-application consists of the following components, which are organized in eBRAP by separate tabs (refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.B., for additional information on pre-application submission):

- Application Information Tab 1
- Application Contacts Tab 2
 - Enter contact information for the PI. Enter the organization's Business Official responsible for sponsored program administration (the "person to be contacted on matters involving this application" in Block 5 of the Grants.gov SF-424 Form). The Business Official must either be selected from the eBRAP list or invited in order for the pre-application to be submitted.
 - It is recommended that PIs identify an Alternate Submitter in the event that assistance with pre-application submission is needed.
- Collaborators and Key Personnel Tab 3
 - Enter the name, organization, and role of all collaborators and key personnel associated with the application.
 - FY15 LCRP Integration Panel (IP) members should not be involved in any preapplication or application. For questions related to IP members and preapplications or applications, refer to <u>Section IV.C., Withdrawal</u>, or contact the CDMRP Help Desk at <u>help@eBRAP.org</u> or 301-682-5507.
- Conflicts of Interest (COIs) Tab 4
 - List all individuals other than collaborators and key personnel who may have a COI in the review of the application (including those with whom the PI has a personal or professional relationship).

• Pre-Application Files – Tab 5

Note: Upload document(s) as individual PDF files unless otherwise noted. eBRAP will not allow a file to be uploaded if the number of pages exceeds the limit specified below.

Pre-applications from New Investigators and Established Investigators will be screened separately.

Pre-Application Relevance Questions: Provide responses in the appropriate data fields for the following in eBRAP:

- 1. Is the applicant affiliated with the military and/or VA? (Yes/No) If yes, specify the institution and the applicant's title. (1,000 character limit, including spaces)
- 2. Does the proposed research include collaborations with a military and/or VA investigator/institutions? (Yes/No) If yes, state the name, institution, and role in the proposed research for each collaborator. (1,000 character limit, including spaces)
- 3. Does the proposed research include the use of military and/or VA resources (e.g., data, patient samples)? (Yes/No) If yes, specify the resource and how the resource will be accessed to conduct the proposed research. (1,000 character limit, including spaces)
- 4. Briefly explain how the proposed research addresses at least one of the LCRP Areas of Emphasis. Clearly articulate how the proposed research is relevant to military Service members, Veterans, and their families; include supporting evidence as applicable to the proposed research. (2,000 character limit, including spaces)

Preproposal Narrative (two-page limit): The Preproposal Narrative page limit applies to text and non-text elements (e.g., figures, tables, graphs, photographs, diagrams, chemical structures, drawings, etc.) used to describe the project. Inclusion of URLs that provide additional information to expand the Preproposal Narrative and could confer an unfair competitive advantage is prohibited and may result in administrative withdrawal of the pre-application.

The inclusion of preliminary data relevant to the proposed project, but not necessarily in lung cancer, is required.

The Preproposal Narrative should include the following:

- **Research:** State the project's hypothesis/objective, rationale, specific aims, and study design. *This award cannot be used to conduct clinical trials.*
- **Innovation:** Describe how the proposed study is innovative and represents more than an incremental advance on published data.
- **Impact:** Describe the applicability of the research on lung cancer patients and describe how the proposed project will have an impact toward eradicating deaths from lung cancer.

Pre-Application Supporting Documentation: The items to be included as supporting documentation for the pre-application *must be uploaded as individual documents* and are limited to:

- References Cited (one-page limit): List the references cited (including URLs if available) in the Preproposal Narrative using a standard reference format that includes the full citation (i.e., author[s], year published, title of reference, source of reference, volume, chapter, page numbers, and publisher, as appropriate).
- List of Abbreviations, Acronyms, and Symbols: Provide a list of abbreviations, acronyms, and symbols used in the Preproposal Narrative.
- Key Personnel Biographical Sketches (five-page limit per individual).
- Submit Pre-Application Tab 6
 - This tab must be completed for the pre-application to be accepted and processed.

Pre-Application Screening

• Pre-Application Screening Criteria

To determine the technical merits of the pre-application and the relevance to the mission of the DHP and the LCRP, pre-applications will be screened by the LCRP IP based on the following criteria:

- **Relevance:** To what degree the proposed project is relevant to at least one of the LCRP Areas of Emphasis; to what degree the proposed project is relevant to military Service members, Veterans, and their families.
- **Research:** To what degree the experimental approach for accomplishing the specific aims is feasible and addresses the hypothesis or objective.
- **Innovation:** To what degree the proposed research is innovative and represents more than an incremental advance upon published data.
- **Impact:** Whether the proposed project has the potential to lead to critical discoveries or major advancements that will accelerate progress toward eradicating deaths from lung cancer.

• Notification of Pre-Application Screening Results

Following the pre-application screening, PIs will be notified as to whether or not they are invited to submit applications; however, they will not receive feedback (e.g., a critique of strengths and weaknesses) on their pre-application. The estimated timeframe for notification of invitation to submit an application is indicated on the <u>title page</u> of this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity.

C. Full Application Submission Content

Applications will not be accepted unless the PI has received notification of invitation.

The CDMRP cannot make allowances/exceptions to its policies for submission problems encountered by the applicant organization using system-to-system interfaces with Grants.gov.

Each application submission must include the completed Grants.gov application package provided in Grants.gov for this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity. The Grants.gov application package is submitted by the Authorized Organizational Representative through the Grants.gov portal (<u>http://www.grants.gov/</u>).

Note: The Project Narrative and Budget Form cannot be changed after the application submission deadline. If either the Project Narrative or the budget fails eBRAP validation or if the Project Narrative or Budget Form needs to be modified, an updated Grants.gov application package must be submitted via Grants.gov as a "Changed/Corrected Application" with the previous Grants.gov Tracking ID *prior to the application submission deadline*.

Grants.gov application package components: For the Idea Development Award, the Grants.gov application package includes the following components (refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C., for additional information on application submission):

1. SF-424 (R&R) Application for Federal Assistance Form: Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C., for detailed information.

2. Attachments Form

Each attachment to the Grants.gov application forms must be uploaded as an individual PDF file in accordance with the formatting guidelines listed in Appendix 2 of the General Application Instructions. For all attachments, ensure that the file names are consistent with the guidance. Grants.gov will reject attachments with file names longer than 50 characters or incorrect file names that contain characters other than the following: A-Z, a-z, 0-9, underscore, hyphen, space, and period. In addition, Grants.gov has file size limits that may apply in some circumstances. Individual attachments may not exceed 20 MB and the file size for the entire Grants.gov application package may not exceed 200 MB.

• Attachment 1: Project Narrative (10-page limit): Upload as "ProjectNarrative.pdf." The page limit of the Project Narrative applies to text and non-text elements (e.g., figures, tables, graphs, photographs, diagrams, chemical structures, drawings, etc.) used to describe the project. Inclusion of URLs that provide additional information to expand the Project Narrative and could confer an unfair competitive advantage is prohibited and may result in administrative withdrawal of the application.

Describe the proposed project in detail using the outline below. *The inclusion of preliminary data relevant to the proposed project, but not necessarily in lung cancer, is required.*

- **Background:** Present the ideas and reasoning behind the proposed research; include relevant literature citations and preliminary data that led to the development of the proposed study. Any preliminary data provided should be from the laboratory of the PI or member(s) of the collaborating team.
- **Hypotheses/Objectives:** State the hypotheses/study questions and overall objective(s) to be reached.

- **Specific Aims:** Concisely explain the project's specific aims. If this application is part of a larger study, present only tasks that this award would fund.
- **Research Strategy:** Describe the experimental design, methods, and analyses, including appropriate controls, in sufficient detail for analysis. Clearly describe how data will be collected and analyzed in a manner that is consistent with the study objectives. As appropriate, provide a statistical plan and sample size estimate for each study arm and the method by which it was derived, including power analysis calculations. Address potential problem areas and present alternative methods and approaches. If animals studies will be conducted, address statistical analyses, choice of models used, and study design. If human subjects or human biological samples will be used, include a detailed plan for the recruitment of subjects or the acquisition of samples. *This award cannot be used to conduct clinical trials.*
- Collaboration (if applicable; encouraged for the New Investigator): Describe the specific contributions of the collaborator(s) to the research project. These contributions should enhance the project's innovation or impact in the lung cancer field.
- **Data and Statistical Analysis Plan:** Describe how data will be collected and analyzed in a manner that is consistent with the study objectives. As appropriate, provide a statistical plan and sample size estimate for each study arm and the method by which it was derived, including power analysis calculations.
- Attachment 2: Supporting Documentation. Start each document on a new page. Combine and upload as a single file named "Support.pdf." If documents are scanned to pdf, the lowest resolution (100 to 150 dpi) should be used. There are no page limits for any of these components unless otherwise noted. Include only those components described below; inclusion of items not requested will result in removal of those items or may result in administrative withdrawal of the application.
 - References Cited: List the references cited (including URLs if available) in the Project Narrative using a standard reference format that includes the full citation (i.e., author[s], year published, title of reference, source of reference, volume, chapter, page numbers, and publisher, as appropriate).
 - List of Abbreviations, Acronyms, and Symbols: Provide a list of abbreviations, acronyms, and symbols.
 - Facilities, Existing Equipment, and Other Resources: Describe the facilities and equipment available for performance of the proposed project and any additional facilities or equipment proposed for acquisition at no cost to the award. Indicate whether or not Government-furnished facilities or equipment are proposed for use. If so, reference should be made to the original or present Government award under which the facilities or equipment items are now accountable. There is no form for this information.

- Publications and/or Patent Abstracts (five-document limit): Include relevant publication URLs and/or patent abstracts. If publications are not publicly available, then a copy/copies of the published manuscript(s) must be included in Attachment 2. Extra items will not be reviewed.
- Letters of Organizational Support: Provide a letter (or letters, if applicable), signed by the Department Chair or appropriate organization official, confirming the laboratory space, equipment, and other resources available for the project. Letters of support not requested in the Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity, such as those from members of Congress, do not impact application review or funding decisions.
- Letters of Collaboration (if applicable): Provide a signed letter from each collaborating individual or organization that will demonstrate that the PI has the support or resources necessary for the proposed work. If the application indicates proprietary material(s) will be utilized for the proposed work, a letter of support confirming the PI will have access to the required material(s) is necessary.
- Intellectual Property
 - Background and Proprietary Information: All software and data first produced under the award are subject to a Federal purpose license. Provide a list of all background intellectual property to be used in the project or provide a statement that none will be used. If applicable, state and identify the proprietary information that will be provided to the Government and indicate whether the applicant will require a waiver of the Federal purpose license.
 - Intellectual and Material Property Plan (if applicable): Provide a plan for resolving intellectual and material property issues among participating organizations.
- Data and Research Resources Sharing Plan: Describe how data and resources generated during the performance of the project will be shared with the research community. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 3, Section L for more information about the CDMRP expectations for making data and research resources publicly available.
- Attachment 3: Technical Abstract (one-page limit): Upload as "TechAbs.pdf." Use only characters available on a standard QWERTY keyboard. Spell out all Greek letters, other non-English letters, and symbols. Graphics are not allowed.

The technical abstract is used by all reviewers. Of particular importance, programmatic reviewers typically do not have access to the full application and therefore rely on the technical abstract for appropriate description of the project's key aspects. Therefore, clarity and completeness within the space limits of the technical abstract are highly important. Technical abstracts should be written using the outline below.

- Relevance: State the LCRP Area(s) of Emphasis the project addresses.
 Describe how the project is relevant to military Service members, Veterans, and their families.
- Background: Present the ideas and reasoning behind the proposed work.
- Objective/Hypothesis: State the objective/hypothesis to be tested. Provide evidence or rationale that supports the objective/hypothesis.
- Specific Aims: State the specific aims of the study.
- Study Design: Briefly describe the study design including appropriate controls.
- Innovation: Briefly describe how the proposed project is innovative.
- Impact: Summarize the potential impact of the proposed project toward the goal of eradicating deaths from lung cancer.
- Attachment 4: Lay Abstract (one-page limit): Upload as "LayAbs.pdf." Use only characters available on a standard QWERTY keyboard. Spell out all Greek letters, other non-English letters, and symbols. Graphics are not allowed.

Do not duplicate the technical abstract. Minimize the use of acronyms and abbreviations, where appropriate. The lay abstract is an important component of the application review process because it addresses issues of particular interest to the consumer advocate community. Lay abstracts should be written using the outline below.

- Describe the scientific objective and rationale for the proposed project in a manner that will be readily understood by readers without a background in science or medicine.
 - State the LCRP Area(s) of Emphasis the project addresses.
- Describe the ultimate applicability of the research.
 - What types of patients will it help, and how will it help them?
 - What are the potential clinical applications, benefits, and risks? If the research is too basic for clinical applicability, describe the interim outcomes expected and their applicability to the field.
 - What is the projected time it may take to achieve a clinically relevant outcome?
 - What are the likely contributions of this study to advancing the field of lung cancer research?
 - How is the project relevant to military Service members, Veterans, and their families?
- Attachment 5: Statement of Work (SOW) (three-page limit): Upload as "SOW.pdf." The suggested SOW format and examples specific to different types of research projects are available on the eBRAP "Funding Opportunities & Forms" web page (https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm). For the Idea

Development Award mechanism, use the SOW format example titled "SOW Generic Format." The SOW must be in PDF format prior to attaching. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.3., for detailed guidance on creating the SOW.

• Attachment 6: Impact Statement (one-page limit): Upload as "Impact.pdf."

Explain in detail why the proposed research project is important, as follows:

- *Describe the short-term impact:* Detail the anticipated outcome(s)/product(s) that will be directly attributed to the results of the proposed research.
- **Describe the long-term impact:** Explain the anticipated long-term gains from the proposed research, including the long-term anticipated advantages that the new understanding may ultimately contribute to the goal of eradicating deaths from lung cancer.

• Attachment 7: Innovation Statement (one-page limit): Upload as "Innovation.pdf."

Describe how the proposed work is innovative. Research deemed innovative may introduce a new paradigm, challenge current paradigms, look at existing problems from new perspectives, or exhibit other uniquely creative qualities. Investigating the next logical step or incremental advancement on published data is not considered innovative.

The following examples of ways in which research may be innovative, *although not all-inclusive*, are intended to help PIs frame the innovative features of their applications:

- Study concept: Investigation of a novel idea and/or research question that could have a significant impact on lung cancer.
- Research method or technology: Use of novel research methods or new technologies to address a research question.
- Novel method or technology: Development of a novel method or technology for prevention, detection, diagnosis, or treatment of lung cancer.
- Existing methods or technologies: Application or adaptation of existing methods or technologies for novel research or clinical purposes, or for research or clinical purposes that differ fundamentally from those originally intended.

• Attachment 8: Relevance Statement (one-page limit): Upload as "Relevance.pdf."

- *Areas of Emphasis:* Describe how the proposed research is relevant to at least one of the LCRP Areas of Emphasis in a way that is consistent with the program's goals.
- *Military Relevance:* Describe how the proposed research is relevant to the health care needs and welfare of military Service members, Veterans, and their families in a way that is consistent with the program's goals. If active duty

military, military families, or U.S. Veteran population(s) will be used in the proposed research project, describe the population(s), the appropriateness of the population(s) for the proposed study, and the feasibility of using the population. If a non-military population will be used for the proposed research project, explain how the population simulates the targeted population (i.e., Armed Forces, their family members, and/or the U.S. Veteran population). If applicable, show how the proposed research project aligns with DoD and/or VA areas of research interest.

- Attachment 9: Letters Confirming Access to Target Military or VA Patient Population(s) or Human/Animal Anatomical Substances, Databases, if applicable: Upload as "Access.pdf." If applicable, provide a letter(s) of support, signed by the lowest ranking person with approval authority, for studies involving active duty military and/or Veteran populations, military and/or VA-controlled study materials, and military and/or VA databases.
- Attachment 10: (*New Investigators Only*) Eligibility Statement (one-page limit): Upload as "Eligibility.pdf." Use the Eligibility Statement Template (available for download on the Full Announcement page in Grants.gov) signed by the Department Chair, Dean, or equivalent official to verify that the eligibility requirements will be met at the application submission deadline.
- Attachment 11: Collaborating DoD Military Facility Budget Form(s), if applicable: Upload as "MFBudget.pdf." If a Military Facility (military health system facility, research laboratory, treatment facility, dental treatment facility, or a DoD activity embedded with a civilian medical center) will be a collaborator in performance of the project, complete the Collaborating DoD Military Facility Budget Form (available for download on the eBRAP "Funding Opportunities & Forms" web page), including a budget justification, for each Military Facility as instructed. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.8., for detailed information.
- **3. Research & Related Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded):** Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.4., for detailed information. Note: Some of the items in this attachment may be made available for programmatic review.
 - PI Biographical Sketch (five-page limit): Upload as "Biosketch_LastName.pdf." The suggested biographical sketch format is available on the "Funding Opportunities & Forms" web page (<u>https://ebrap.org/eBRAP/public/Program.htm</u>) in eBRAP. The five-page National Institutes of Health Biographical Sketch may also be used.
 - PI Previous/Current/Pending Support (no page limit): Upload as "Support_LastName.pdf."
 - Key Personnel Biographical Sketches (five-page limit each): Upload as "Biosketch_LastName.pdf."
 - Key Personnel Previous/Current/Pending Support (no page limit): Upload as "Support_LastName.pdf."

- **4. Research & Related Budget:** Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.5., for detailed information.
 - Budget Justification (no page limit): Upload as "BudgetJustification.pdf." The budget justification for the entire period of performance must be uploaded to the Research & Related Budget after completion of the budget for Period 1.
- **5. Project/Performance Site Location(s) Form:** Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.6., for detailed information.
- **6. R & R Subaward Budget Attachment(s) Form (if applicable):** Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.C.7., for detailed information.

D. Applicant Verification of Grants.gov Submission in eBRAP

Prior to the end of the application verification period, PIs and organizational representatives can review and modify in eBRAP certain components of an application submitted to Grants.gov. Following retrieval and processing of the Grants.gov application, eBRAP will notify the organizational representatives and PI by email to log into eBRAP to review, modify, and verify the Grants.gov application submission. eBRAP will validate retrieved files against the specific Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity requirements and discrepancies will be noted in both the email and in the Full Application Files tab in eBRAP. eBRAP does not confirm the accuracy of file content. It is the applicant's responsibility to review all application components and ensure proper ordering as specified in the Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity. *If either the Project Narrative or the budget fails eBRAP validation, an updated Grants.gov application" with the previous Grants.gov Tracking ID prior to the application submission deadline.* The Project Narrative and Budget Form cannot be changed after the application submission deadline.

E. Submission Dates and Times

All submission dates and times are indicated on the <u>title page</u> of this Program Announcement/ Funding Opportunity. Pre-application and application submissions are required. Failure to meet either of these deadlines will result in application rejection.

F. Other Submission Requirements

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 2, for detailed formatting guidelines.

All applications must be submitted through Grants.gov. Applicant organizations and all subrecipient organizations must have a DUNS number to submit applications to Grants.gov. The applicant organization must also be registered in the Entity Management functional area of the SAM with an "Active" status to submit applications through the Grants.gov portal. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.A., for information on Grants.gov registration requirements.

III. APPLICATION REVIEW INFORMATION

A. Application Review and Selection Process

All applicants are evaluated by scientists, clinicians, and consumer advocates using a two-tier review process. The first tier is peer review of applications against established criteria for determining technical merit. The second tier is a programmatic review that makes recommendations for funding to the DHA RDA Directorate and the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs, based on (a) technical merit and (b) the relevance to the mission of the DHP and LCRP, and to the specific intent of the award mechanism. The highestscoring applications from the first tier of review are not automatically recommended for funding. Additional information about the two-tier process used by the CDMRP can be found at http://cdmrp.army.mil/about/fundingprocess.

All CDMRP review processes are conducted confidentially to maintain the integrity of the meritbased selection process. Panel members sign a nondisclosure statement that application and evaluation information will not be disclosed outside the panel. Violations of confidentiality can result in the dissolving of a panel(s) and other corrective actions. In addition, personnel at the applicant or collaborating organizations are prohibited from contacting persons involved in the review process to gain protected evaluation information or to influence the evaluation process. Violations of these prohibitions will result in the administrative withdrawal of the organization's application. Violations by panel members or applicants that compromise the confidentiality of the review process may also result in suspension or debarment from Federal awards. Furthermore, the unauthorized disclosure of confidential information of one party to another third party is a crime in accordance with Title 18 United States Code 1905.

B. Application Review Process

1. Peer Review: To determine technical merit, all applications will be evaluated according to the following scored criteria, which are of equal importance:

• Innovation

- How well the research proposes new paradigms, challenges existing paradigms, or is otherwise highly creative in one or more of the following ways: Concept or question, research methods or technologies, adaptations of existing methods or technologies, or other ways.
- How the proposed research represents more than an incremental advance upon published data.
- Impact
 - To what degree the proposed study could, whether in the short or long term, make a significant impact on lung cancer research and/or patient care, including its potential to accelerate progress toward eradicating deaths from lung cancer.

• Research Strategy and Feasibility

- How well the scientific rationale supports the project and its feasibility as demonstrated by a critical review and analysis of the literature, relevant preliminary data, and logical reasoning.
- How well the hypotheses or objectives, aims, experimental design, methods, and analyses (and if applicable, the statistical plan, rationale for the statistical methodology, and power analysis) are developed.
- If the study proposes using animals, how well they are designed to achieve reproducible and rigorous results.
- If human subjects or human anatomical samples will be used, how well the plan for the recruitment of subjects or the acquisition of samples is justified and appropriate to accomplish the proposed work.
- How well the PI acknowledges potential problems and addresses alternative approaches.
- Personnel
 - To what degree the levels of effort by the PI and other key personnel are appropriate to ensure the success of this research effort.
 - How well the PI's record of accomplishment demonstrates his/her ability to accomplish the proposed work.
 - New Investigator (if applicable):
 - How well the PI's record of accomplishments demonstrates his/her potential for contributing to the lung cancer research field and completing the proposed work.
 - If applicable, how well the proposed contributions of collaborators will complement the New Investigator's ability to perform the proposed work.

In addition, the following unscored criteria will also contribute to the overall evaluation of the application:

- Relevance
 - How well the proposed research addresses at least one of the LCRP Areas of Emphasis.
 - How the proposed project is relevant to military Service members, Veterans, and their families in a way that is consistent with the program's goals.

• Environment

- To what degree the scientific environment is appropriate for the proposed research.
- How well the research requirements are supported by the availability of and accessibility to facilities and resources (including collaborative arrangements).

- To what degree the quality and extent of organizational support are appropriate.
- If applicable, to what degree the intellectual and material property plan is appropriate.
- Budget
 - Whether the budget is appropriate for the proposed research and within the limitations of this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity.

• Application Presentation

- To what extent the writing, clarity, and presentation of the application components influence the review.
- 2. **Programmatic Review:** To make funding recommendations and select the application(s) that, individually or collectively, will best achieve the program objectives, the following equally considered criteria are used by programmatic reviewers:
 - a. Ratings and evaluations of the peer reviewers
 - **b.** Relevance to the mission of the DHP and FY15 LCRP, as evidenced by the following:
 - Adherence to the intent of the award mechanism
 - Programmatic relevance
 - Program portfolio composition with consideration of new and established investigators
 - Relative impact, innovation, and military relevance

C. Recipient Qualification

For general information on required qualifications for award recipients, refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 1.

D. Application Review Dates

All application review dates and times are indicated on the <u>title page</u> of this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity.

E. Notification of Application Review Results

Each PI and organization will receive email notification of posting of the funding recommendation in eBRAP. Each PI will receive a peer review summary statement on the strengths and weaknesses of the application.

IV. ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

After receipt of pre-applications from eBRAP or applications from Grants.gov, the following administrative actions may occur:

A. Rejection

The following will result in administrative rejection of the pre-application:

- Preproposal Narrative exceeds page limit.
- Preproposal Narrative is missing.

The following will result in administrative rejection of the application:

- Submission of an application for which a letter of invitation was not received.
- Project Narrative exceeds page limit.
- Project Narrative is missing.
- Budget is missing.
- Submission of the same research project to different Funding Opportunities within the same program and fiscal year.

B. Modification

- Pages exceeding the specific limits will be removed prior to review for all documents other than the Preproposal Narrative and Project Narrative.
- Documents not requested will be removed.

C. Withdrawal

The following may result in administrative withdrawal of the pre-application or application:

- A FY15 LCRP IP member is named as being involved in the research proposed or is found to have assisted in the pre-application or application processes including, but not limited to, concept design, application development, budget preparation, and the development of any supporting documentation. A list of the FY15 LCRP IP members can be found at http://cdmrp.army.mil/lcrp/panels15.
- The application fails to conform to this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity description to the extent that appropriate review cannot be conducted.
- Inclusion of URLs, with the exception of links in References Cited and Publication and/or Patent Abstract sections.
- Page size is larger than 8.5 inches x 11.0 inches (approximately 21.59 cm x 27.94 cm).
- Inclusion of any employee of CDMRP review contractors in applications for funding without adequate plans to mitigate conflicts of interest. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Section II.B., for detailed information.

- Personnel from applicant or collaborating organizations are found to have contacted persons involved in the review process to gain protected evaluation information or to influence the evaluation process.
- If a clinical trial is proposed, the application will be withdrawn.
- An application submitted by a PI who does not meet the eligibility criteria will be withdrawn.
- If the application does not address at least one of the LCRP Areas of Emphasis, the application will be withdrawn.

D. Withhold

Applications that appear to involve research misconduct will be administratively withheld from further consideration pending institutional investigation. The institution will be required to provide the findings of the investigation to the USAMRAA Grants Officer for a determination of the final disposition of the application.

V. AWARD ADMINISTRATION INFORMATION

A. Award Notice

Awards will be made no later than September 30, 2016. Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 3, for additional award administration information.

Any assistance instrument awarded under this Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity will be governed by the award terms and conditions, which conform to DoD's implementation of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) circulars applicable to financial assistance. Terms and conditions of new awards made after December 26, 2014 may include revisions to reflect DoD implementation of new OMB guidance in the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 2, Part 200, "Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards" (2 CFR part 200).

B. Administrative Requirements

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 3 for general information regarding administrative requirements.

C. National Policy Requirements

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 4 for general information regarding national policy requirements.

D. Reporting

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 3, Section I, for general information on reporting requirements.

E. Award Transfers

Changes in PI are not allowed, except under extenuating circumstances that will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis and at the discretion of the Grants Officer.

Refer to the General Application Instructions, Appendix 3, Section M, for general information on organization or PI changes.

VI. AGENCY CONTACTS

A. CDMRP Help Desk

Questions related to Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity content or submission requirements as well as questions related to the submission of the pre-application through eBRAP should be directed to the CDMRP Help Desk, which is available Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. ET. Response times may vary depending upon the volume of inquiries.

Phone: 301-682-5507 Email: help@eBRAP.org

B. Grants.gov Contact Center

Questions related to application submission through Grants.gov portal should be directed to the Grants.gov Contact Center, which is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week (closed on U.S. Federal holidays). Note that the CDMRP Help Desk is unable to provide technical assistance with Grants.gov submission.

Phone: 800-518-4726 Email: support@grants.gov

Sign up on Grants.gov for "send me change notification emails" by following the link on the Synopsis page for the Program Announcement/Funding Opportunity or by responding to the prompt provided by Grants.gov when first downloading the Grants.gov application package. If the Grants.gov application package is updated or changed, the original version of the application package may not be accepted by Grants.gov.

VII. APPLICATION SUBMISSION CHECKLIST

Grants.gov Application Components	Upload Order	Action	Completed
SF-424 (R&R) Application for Federal Assistance		Complete form as instructed.	
	1	Project Narrative: Upload as Attachment 1 with file name "ProjectNarrative.pdf."	
	2	Supporting Documentation: Upload as Attachment 2 with file name "Support.pdf."	
	3	Technical Abstract: Upload as Attachment 3 with file name "TechAbs.pdf."	
	4	Lay Abstract: Upload as Attachment 4 with file name "LayAbs.pdf."	
	5	Statement of Work: Upload as Attachment 5 with file name "SOW.pdf."	
	6	Impact Statement: Upload as Attachment 6 with file name "Impact.pdf."	
Attachments Form	7	Innovation Statement: Upload as Attachment 7 with file name "Innovation.pdf."	
	8	Relevance Statement: Upload as Attachment 8 with file name "Relevance.pdf."	
	9	Letters Confirming Access to Military or VA Patient Populations or Human/Animal Anatomical Substances, Databases: Upload as Attachment 9 with file name "Access.pdf," if applicable.	
	10	Eligibility Statement (New Investigators Only): Upload as Attachment 10 with file name "Eligibility.pdf," if applicable.	
	11	Collaborating DoD Military Facility Budget Form(s): Upload as Attachment 11 with file name "MFBudget.pdf," if applicable.	
		Attach PI Biographical Sketch (Biosketch_LastName.pdf) to the appropriate field.	
Research & Related		Attach PI Previous/Current/Pending Support (Support_LastName.pdf) to the appropriate field.	
Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded)		Attach Biographical Sketch (Biosketch_LastName.pdf) for each senior/key person to the appropriate field.	
		Attach Previous/Current/Pending (Support_LastName.pdf) for each senior/key person to the appropriate field.	
Research & Related Budget		Complete as instructed. Attach Budget Justification (BudgetJustification.pdf) to the appropriate field.	
Project/Performance Site Location(s) Form		Complete form as instructed.	
R & R Subaward Budget Attachment(s) Form		Complete form as instructed.	